Estimates at or beyond endpoint in harmonic analysis: Bochner-Riesz means and spherical means

Shunchao Long

arXiv:1103.0616v1 [math.CA] 3 Mar 2011

Abstract

We introduce some new functions spaces to investigate some problems at or beyond endpoint. First, we prove that Bochner-Riesz means B_R^λ are bounded from some subspaces of $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ to $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ for $\frac{n-1}{2(n+1)} < \lambda \le \frac{n-1}{2}, 0 < p \le p'_\lambda = \frac{2n}{n+1+2\lambda}, n(\frac{p}{p_\lambda} - 1) < \alpha < n(\frac{p}{p'_\lambda} - 1)$, and $0 < R < \infty$, and so are the maximal Bochner-Riesz means B_*^λ for $\frac{n-1}{2} \le \lambda < \infty, 0 < p \le 1$ and $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$. From these we obtain the $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$-norm convergent property of B_R^λ for these λ, p, and α. Second, let $n \ge 3$, we prove that the maximal spherical means are bounded from some subspaces of $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ to $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ for $0 < p \le \frac{n}{n-1}$ and $-n(1-\frac{p}{2}) < \alpha < n(p-1)-n$. We also obtain a $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$-norm convergent property of the spherical means for such p and α. Finally, we prove that some new types of $|x|^\alpha$-weighted estimates hold at or beyond endpoint for many operators, such as Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, some maximal and truncated singular integral operators, the maximal Carleson operator, etc. The new estimates can be regarded as some substitutes for the (H^p, H^p) and (H^p, L^p) estimates for the operators which fail to be of types (H^p, H^p) and (H^p, L^p).

Table of contents

1. Introduction
2. $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and their basic properties
3. A molecular theorem
4. Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator
5. Bochner-Riesz means
6. Spherical means
7. Some of other sublinear operators
8. Remarks

1. Introduction

It is well-known that the Lebesgue spaces $L^p = L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ play an important role in harmonic analysis. A lot of problems are solvable on L^p, but usually only for p in certain subinterval (A, A') of $(0, \infty)$, not outside of (A, A') (i.e. not at or beyond endpoint). For example, L^p-inequalities hold for Carleson operator for p in $E = (1, \infty)$, Bochner-Riesz means in $E = (\frac{2n}{n+1+2\lambda}, \frac{2n}{n-1-2\lambda})$ (conjecture, $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$), the maximal spherical means in $E = (\frac{n}{n-1}, \infty)$, Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator in $E = (1, \infty)$, etc, but do not for p in $\mathbf{R} \setminus E$ (see [59]). The boundedness properties of these operators are related to the corresponding convergence problems, respectively (see [60, 61]).

Generally, A and A' are called endpoints.

When p is at or beyond endpoint, many operators are unbounded on L^p, so L^p are too large to be the domains of these operators, yet too small to be the range since the target spaces of such operators exceed L^p. Therefore, one generally looks for some larger spaces than L^p as the range spaces of these operators. The weak-L^p are suitable substitutes for L^p for some operators, for example, weak-L^1 for L^1 for Hardy-Littlewood maximal function Mf which is of weak-type (L^1, L^1). On the other hand, it is also natural to look for some subspaces of L^p from which the operator considered is bounded. A famous example of such subspaces is the classical Hardy spaces H^p with $0 < p \leq 1$ which are some subspaces of L^p . They are suitable substitutes for L^p for many questions when $0 < p \leq 1$, for example, for the boundedness estimates of certain singular integral operators. These operators are of type (H^p, L^p) when $0 < p \leq 1$ (see [59]).

But, $H^p, 0 < p \leq 1$, are still not suitable for a lot of problems in harmonic analysis, such as the convergent of Fourier series and Bochner-Riesz means at the critical index, the boundedness property of Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, etc. At the same time, $H^p = L^p$ when $p > 1$, naturally, H^p are not suitable for the problems beyond endpoint which is larger than 1, such as the convergent of Bochner-Riesz mean when the index λ is less than the critical index $\frac{n-1}{2}$ and $1 < p \leq p'_\lambda = \frac{2n}{n+1+2\lambda}$, the spherical means when $1 < p \leq \frac{n}{n-1}$, etc.

In fact, when p is at or beyond endpoint, both weak-L^p and H^p are not suitable for a lot of problems such as boundedness properties of the maximal Bochner-Riesz mean, the maximal spherical means and the Carleson operators, since the maximal Bochner-Riesz mean as $0 < p \leq p'_\lambda$ (see [62, 66]), the maximal spherical means as $0 < p \leq \frac{n}{n-1}$ (see [59]) and Carleson operators as $0 < p \leq 1$ (see [34]) fail to be of both weak-type (L^p, L^p) and type (H^p, L^p).

A natural question is then how to extend the known solutions of these problems to the cases of at or beyond endpoints.

Surprisingly to me, we see here that a lot of problems are solvable at or beyond endpoints if we consider the weighted Lebesgue spaces $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$, i.e. the problems are solvable on some subspaces of $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$. $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ may be more suitable functions spaces to study certain problems beyond endpoint than the non-weighted ones.

In this paper we will study some problems at or beyond endpoint such as boundedness properties of Bochner-Riesz means, the maximal spherical means and many other operators arising in harmonic analysis, and norm convergent.

To this end, we will introduce some new functions spaces $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ (in fact, they were first introduced by the author himself in [39]). One maybe initially want to use these spaces as some subspaces of L^p, liking Hardy spaces H^p (which are subspaces of L^p, as we know) and the block spaces B_s introduced in [69] (which are subspaces of L^1), since they are analogous to the atom-H^p and B_s. However, we see here that these spaces are subspaces of $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ for certain ranges of p, α and s, and are very useful when they are used as subspaces of $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$.

The estimates of Carleson operators and a.e. convergent problems will be considered in our next paper.

I am greatly indebted to J.Wang and D.S.Fan for helpful discussions and constant support, and to X.C.Li for useful discussions and suggestions when I visited him in 2006.

1.1 Bochner-Riesz means

The Bochner-Riesz means in $\mathbf{R}^n$ are defined as

$$(B^\lambda_R f)\hat{}(\xi) = (1 - |\xi/R|^2)^\lambda_+ \hat{f}(\xi), \quad \lambda \geq 0, 0 < R < \infty,$$

where $\hat{}$ denotes the Fourier transform. By a simple argument, that $B^\lambda_R f$ converges to f in L^p-norm as R tends to ∞ is equivalent to the boundedness in L^p of B^λ_1 (see [59]), i.e.

$$\|B^\lambda_1 f\|_{L^p} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p}. \tag{1.1}$$

A great deal has been already known about (1.1). Bochner [3] proved that (1.2) holds for $\lambda > (n-1)/2$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. For $0 < \lambda \leq (n-1)/2$, Herz [28] showed that, if (1.1) holds, then

$$\frac{2n}{n+1+2\lambda} = p'_\lambda < p < p_\lambda = \frac{2n}{n-1-2\lambda}. \tag{1.2}$$

Since then, a famous conjecture states that

Bochner-Riesz conjecture For $0 < \lambda \leq (n-1)/2$, (1.1) holds under condition (1.2).

This conjecture was completely proved in $\mathbf{R}^2$ by Carleson and Sjölin [10], see also [21], [16] and [31]. In the higher dimensions, it is still open, but there are several results. Stein [63] showed that, for $0 < \lambda \leq (n-1)/2$, if $\frac{2(n-1)}{n-1+2\lambda} < p < \frac{2(n-1)}{n-1-2\lambda}$, then (1.1) holds, and this includes that the conjecture is true for $\lambda = \frac{n-1}{2}$. The argument of Fefferman and Stein [22] and the restriction theorem of Tomas and Stein [76] imply the case of $\frac{n-1}{2(n+1)} < \lambda$, and Lee [35] extended this to the case of $\frac{n-2}{2(n+2)} < \lambda$.

See also [4], [5], [78], [73], [74] and [75] for some specific progress in $\mathbf{R}^3$.

A natural endpoint version of the Bochner-Riesz conjecture is the statement that

Endpoint Bochner-Riesz conjecture For $0 < \lambda \leq (n-1)/2$ and $p'_\lambda = \frac{2n}{n+1+2\lambda}$, B^λ_1 is of weak-type $(L^{p'_\lambda}, L^{p'_\lambda})$.

The endpoint conjecture was proved in two dimensions by Seeger [51], for $\frac{n-1}{2(n+1)} < \lambda \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ by Chist [11, 12] and for $\lambda = \frac{n-1}{2(n+1)}$ by Tao [71] in the higher dimensions.

And Tao [72] showed that the weak-type endpoint Bochner-Riesz conjecture is equivalent to the standard Bochner-Riesz conjecture.

But, beyond endpoint, i.e. $p < p'_\lambda$, it seems that B_1^λ fails to be of weak-type (L^p, L^p) for $\lambda \leq (n-1)/2$, for example, it is known that B_1^λ fails to be of weak-type (L^1, L^1) for all $\lambda < (n-1)/2$.

Question A natural question is then what happens beyond the endpoint, i.e. for $0 < p < p'_\lambda = \frac{2n}{n+1+2\lambda}, 0 < \lambda \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$.

For the question above, it is natural to consider the Hardy spaces H^p when $p \leq 1$. Stein, Taibleson and Weiss [67] considered this and obtained some H^p estimates of B_1^λ, but only for $\lambda \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$. Unfortunately, H^p is not suitable for the norm convergence of B_R^λ, even for $\lambda = \frac{n-1}{2}$. In fact, by a result of Stein [62] (see also [50] for the weighted case), there exists a function $f \in H^1$ such that

$$\limsup_{R\to\infty} B_R^{(n-1)/2} f(x) = \infty, \quad \text{a.e..}$$

This implies that the convergene of $B_R^{(n-1)/2} f(x)$ to $f(x)$ in L^1 fails as $R \to \infty$. At the same time, $H^p = L^p$ when $p > 1$, so H^p is not a suitable space for the norm convergence of B_R^λ at this time.

Although we did not find the subspaces of L^p we are looking forward to here, but to our surprise, we found that there exist some subspaces of $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ so that $B_R^{(n-1)/2} f(x)$ converges to $f(x)$ in $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ for the functions f in these subspaces for $p \leq p'_\lambda$, i.e. at or beyond endpoint.

The question above has also been studied for the radial functions and $p > 1$ in [1], and a weighted inequality has been obtained for B_1^λ.

The weighted estimate of B_1^λ for p in the range (p'_λ, p_λ) has been investigated by several authors. For $p = 2$, Rubio [49], Hirschman [29] showed that B_1^λ is bounded on $L^2_{|x|^\alpha}$ provided $\lambda < \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $n(\frac{2}{p_\lambda} - 1) = -1 - 2\lambda < \alpha < 1 + 2\lambda = n(\frac{2}{p'_\lambda} - 1)$. Carbery, Rubio, and Vega [9] proved that the maximal Bochner-Riesz mean $B_*^\lambda = \sup_{R>0} |B_R^\lambda|$ is bounded on $L^2_{|x|^\alpha}$ for $\lambda > 0, p = 2$ and $n(\frac{2}{p_\lambda} - 1) < \alpha \leq 0$. For the critical index $\lambda = \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $1 < p < \infty$ Shi and Sun [57] proved that $B_*^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ is bounded on $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ provided $n(\frac{p}{p_{(n-1)/2}} - 1) = -n < \alpha < n(p-1) = n(\frac{p}{p'_{(n-1)/2}} - 1)$.

Naturally, it is conjectured that: let $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{n-1}{2}, p'_\lambda < p < p_\lambda, n(\frac{p}{p_\lambda} - 1) < \alpha < n(\frac{p}{p'_\lambda} - 1)$, then B_1^λ (even B_*^λ) is of type $(L^p_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha})$.

Our first aim of this paper is to prove that an extension of this conjecture holds also to the case of at of beyond endpoint p'_λ, i.e. to $0 < p \leq p'_\lambda$. That is

let $0 < R < \infty$, and let $\frac{n-1}{2(n+1)} < \lambda \leq \frac{n-1}{2}, 0 < p < p'_\lambda, n(\frac{p}{p_\lambda} - 1) < \alpha < n(\frac{p}{p'_\lambda} - 1)$, then

$$B_R^\lambda \text{ is of type } (BL^{p,p_\lambda}_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha})$$

and (1.5) below holds for all $f \in BL^{p,p_\lambda}_{|x|^\alpha}$.

In fact, we have more:

let $\frac{n-1}{2(n+1)} < \lambda \leq \frac{n-1}{2}, p'_\lambda < s < p_\lambda, 0 < p \leq s$ and $n(\frac{p}{s} - 1) \leq \alpha < n(\frac{p}{p'_\lambda} - 1)$. Then

$$B_1^\lambda \text{ is of type } (BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}), \tag{1.3}$$

and let $0 < R < \infty$, and let $\frac{n-1}{2(n+1)} < \lambda \leq \frac{n-1}{2}, p'_\lambda < s < p_\lambda, 0 < p < s, n(\frac{p}{s} - 1) < \alpha < n(\frac{p}{p'_\lambda} - 1)$ and $\alpha \leq 0$, then

$$B_R^\lambda \text{ is of type } (BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha}) \tag{1.4}$$

for all $0 < R < \infty$, and

$$\|B_R^\lambda f - f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \to 0, \qquad R \to \infty \tag{1.5}$$

for all $f \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$.

When $\lambda = \frac{n-1}{2}$, these results above hold for the maximal Bochner-Riesz operators. Noticing that $n(\frac{p}{p_{(n-1)/2}} - 1) = -n$ and $n(\frac{p}{p'_{(n-1)/2}} - 1) = n(p-1)$, we will prove that:

let $\lambda = \frac{n-1}{2}, 0 < p \leq 1, -n < \alpha < n(p-1)$ and $s \geq \frac{pn}{n+\alpha}$, then

$$B_*^\lambda \text{ is of type } (BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}), \tag{1.6}$$

$$B_*^\lambda \text{ is of type } (BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha}), \tag{1.7}$$

and (1.5) holds.

And when $\lambda > \frac{n-1}{2}$, these results above, i.e. (1.6), (1.7) and (1.5) hold also.

1.2 Spherical means

For a locally integrable function f on $\mathbf{R}^n$ we define the spherical means

$$A_t(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} f(x - t\theta)d\sigma(\theta)$$

for $t \in E \subseteq (0, \infty)$, where $d\sigma$ is the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit sphere $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}$.

Stein [64] showed that

$$\lim_{t\to 0, t\in E} A_t(f)(x) = f(x) \quad \text{ a.e.} \tag{1.8}$$

when $E = (0, \infty)$, provided $f \in L^p, p > n/(n-1)$ and $n \geq 3$. Bourgain [6] extended this result to the case of $n = 2$. Unfortunately, (1.8) fails for $p \leq n/(n-1)$, see [59].

This caused a type of problems: what happens at or beyond the endpoint, i.e. $p \leq n/(n-1)$?

It is showed that for certain subset E of $(0, \infty)$, (1.8) holds for $1 < p < \infty$, furthermore, similar results are also proved for the endpoint $p = 1$. In fact, when $E = \{2^k : k \in \mathbf{Z}\}$, i.e. for the lacunary spherical means, (1.8) was proved by Calderón [8] and Coifman and Weiss [15] for f in L^p with $1 < p < \infty$, by Christ [11] for f in Hardy space H^1, and by Seeger, Tao and Wright [52] and [53] for f locally in $L \log\log L$. When E satisfies some regularity conditions, (1.8) was proved for f in L^p with $1 < p \leq n/(n-1)$ by Seeger, Tao and Wright in [54], and Seeger, Wainger and Wright in [55] and [56], etc.

According to a theorem by Stein [60], pointwise convergence (1.8) for $f \in L^p$ is equivalent with a weak type (p, p) boundedness properties of the maximal function

$$\mathcal{M}_E f(x) = \sup_{t\in E} |A_t(f)(x)|.$$

At the same time, the boundedness properties of $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{(0,\infty)}$ on $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ were obtained in [18], that is, if $n \geq 2, n/(n-1) < p$, then

$$\mathcal{M} \text{ is of type } (L^p_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha}) \tag{1.9}$$

for $1-n < \alpha < n(p-1)-p$ and (1.9) does not hold for $\alpha < 1-n$. While for general $\mathcal{M}_E$ this was considered in [19].

In this paper, the second work is to extend (1.9) to $p \leq n/(n-1)$, as follows: let $n \geq 3, 0 < p \leq n/(n-1)$ and $-n(1-p/2) \leq \alpha < n(p-1)-n$, then

$$\mathcal{M} \text{ is of type } (BL^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha}, BL^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha}), \tag{1.10}$$

and let $n \geq 3, 0 < p \leq n/(n-1)$ and $-n(1-p/2) < \alpha < n(p-1)-n$, then

$$\mathcal{M} \text{ is of type } (BL^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha}). \tag{1.11}$$

It is worth to point out that at the endpoint $\mathcal{M}$ is a bounded operator from $L^{n/(n-1),1}$ to $L^{n/(n-1),\infty}$ if $n \geq 3$, where $L^{p,q}$ is the usual Lorentz space, and this was proved by Bourgain [7]. For general set E, these types of estimates can be found in [54] and [55].

1.3 Some sublinear operators

For $p \in (1,\infty)$, it is known that type (L^p, L^p) holds for many important operators arising in harmonic analysis, while for $p \in (0,1]$, there are several cases: the operator

A. fails (or not know) to be of both weak-type (L^1, L^1), and types (H^p, H^p) and (H^p, L^p) for $p \in (0,1]$, such as Carleson operator [34, 79], the maximal Bochner-Riesz means at the critical index [60, 28], certain oscillatory singular integral operators [44], etc.,

B. is of weak-type (L^1, L^1) but fails (or not know) to be of type (H^p, H^p) and type (H^p, L^p) for $p \in (0,1]$, such as Bochner-Riesz means at the critical index, see [11, 28, 79], some maximal operators including Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, the maximal Calderón-Zygmund operators, the maximal Hilbert transform and the maximal Riesz transform, some truncated operators including the truncated Calderón-Zygmund operators, the truncated Hilbert transform and the truncated Riesz transform, etc.,

C. is of weak-type (L^1, L^1), and types (H^p, L^p) and (H^p, H^p) for p in part of $(0,1]$ but not (or not know) for p in the remain part of $(0,1]$. For example, it is not known whether type (H^p, L^p) and type (H^p, H^p) hold for p in $(0,1/2]$ for Hilbert transform and the partial summation operators of Fourier series, for p in $(0, n/(n+\delta)]$ for Calderón-Zygmund operators. Furthermore, it is known that type (H^p, H^p) does not hold for p in $(0,1)$ for strongly singular integral operators, see [23, 58], and type (H^p, L^p) does not hold for p in $(0,1)$ for certain oscillatory singular integral operators, see [45, 44],

D. is of both weak-type (L^1, L^1), and types (H^p, L^p) and (H^p, H^p) for full $p \in (0,1]$ such as Riesz transform.

A natural question is then what happens for these operators when p is in the remain ranges.

In this paper, our third aim is to consider the boundedness properties of some operators mentioned above when p is in the remain ranges. We will show that some new types of estimates hold when p is in the remain ranges of $(0,1]$ for many of these operators, such as Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, some singular integral operators as well as the corresponding maximal operators and truncated operators, Carleson operator, the maximal Bochner-Riesz means at the critical index, Calderón-Zygmund operator as well as the corresponding maximal operators and truncated operators, the strongly singular integral operator, some oscillatory singular integrals operators, etc.. That is, we shall prove that they are of

$$\text{type } (BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}) \tag{1.12}$$

for $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s$ and $-n(1-p/s) \leq \alpha < n(p-1)$, and

$$\text{type } (BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, L^{p}_{|x|^\alpha}) \tag{1.13}$$

for $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p < s, -n(1-p/s) < \alpha < n(p-1)$ and $\alpha \leq 0$. These can be regarded as some substitutes for the type (H^p, H^p) and (H^p, L^p) for p in the remain range of $(0,1]$. These estimates are also new for the operators which are of type (H^p, H^p) and type (H^p, L^p). And these can also be regarded as an extension of the type $(L^p_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha})$ from $1 < p < \infty$ to $0 < p \leq 1$.

In fact, we will prove that (1.12) and (1.13) hold for the sublinear operator H which satisfies the size condition

$$|Hf(x)| \leq C\|f\|_{L^1}/|x-x_0|^n, \tag{1.14}$$

when supp $f \subseteq B(x_0, 2^k)$ and $|x - x_0| \geq 2^{k+1}$ with $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, and the countable sublinear property

$$|Hf| \leq \sum |\lambda_j||Ha_j| \tag{1.15}$$

for $f = \sum \lambda_j a_j \in \dot{B}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, where each a_j is a $(p,s,\alpha)-$block.

(1.14) and (1.15) are satisfied by a lot of operators mentioned above, see Section 7.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the spaces $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, and list some of their properties, including the relationship $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha} \subset L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$. Such relationship and the boundedness of operators on $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ imply the boundedness from $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ into $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$. In section 3 we establish a molecular theorem for $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, which is a foundation for the estimates of operators on $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$. In section 4, we prove the boundedness of Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, and this is also used in the estimates of Bochner-Riesz means and the maximal spherical means. In sections 5 and 6, we establish the estimates of Bochner-Riesz means and the maximal spherical means respectively. In section 7 we obtain some estimates of some other sublinear operators, including some maximal and truncated singular integral operators, the maximal Carleson operators, etc. In section 8 we prove two sharp results relating to the quasinorm of $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and Carleson operator.

2. $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and their basic properties

2.1 Definition

Throughout this paper, we define $B(x_0,r)=\{x\in \mathbf{R}^n : |x-x_0|\le r\}$, and denote $\|g\|_{L^q_w}=(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}|g(x)|^q w(x)dx)^{1/q}$, $\|g\|_{L^q}=\|g\|_{L^q_1}, q'=q/(q-1)$ for $q\ge 1, \bar{p}=\min\{p,1\}$ for $0<p<\infty, \mu_\alpha(x)=|x|^\alpha$ and $\mu_\alpha(B)=\int_B\mu_\alpha(x)dx$. And χ_E is the characteristic function of set E.

Definition 2.1 Let $-\infty<\alpha<\infty, 0<p<\infty$ and $0<s\le\infty$.

A. A function $a(x)$ is said to be a (p,s,α)-block on $\mathbf{R}^n$ (centered at x_0), if

(i) supp $a\subseteq B(x_0,r)\subset\mathbf{R}^n, r>0$,

(ii) $\|a\|_{L^s}\le|B(x_0,r)|^{-\alpha/pn-1/p+1/s}$;

B. A function $a(x)$ is said to be a (p,s,α)-block of restrict I-type on $\mathbf{R}^n$ (centered at x_0), if (i) is replaced by

(i)$'$ supp $a\subseteq B(x_0,r)\subset\mathbf{R}^n, r>1$;

C. A function $a(x)$ is said to be a (p,s,α)-block of restrict II-type on $\mathbf{R}^n$ (centered at x_0), if (i) is replaced by

(i)$''$ supp $a\subseteq B(x_0,r)\subset\mathbf{R}^n, 0<r\le 1$.

Definition 2.2 Let $-\infty<\alpha<\infty, 0<p<\infty$ and $0<s\le\infty$. The function spaces $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ are defined as

$$BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)=\{f \ : \ f=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\lambda_k a_k,$$

$$\text{where each } a_k \text{ is a } (p,s,\alpha)\text{-block on } \mathbf{R}^n, \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}|\lambda_k|^{\bar{p}}<+\infty\},$$

here, the "convergence" means a.e. convergence. Moreover, we define a quasinorm on $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ by

$$\|f\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)}=\inf\left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}|\lambda_k|^{\bar{p}}\right)^{1/\bar{p}},$$

where the infimum is taken over all the decompositions of f as above.

Similarly, we can also define the non-homogeneous function spaces $\dot{B}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with (p,s,α)-blocks of restrict I-type, and the non-homogeneous function spaces $\ddot{B}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with (p,s,α)-blocks of restrict II-type.

For simplicity, we will omit the notes $(\mathbf{R}^n)$ below.

The balls in Definition 2.1 and Definition 2.2 can be replaced by cubes, and

$$\text{ball}-BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}=\text{cube}-BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}.$$

We will use these two definitions in this paper simultaneously, even in the proof of a theorem.

Comments

$BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ are similar to the classical Hardy spaces H^p, the weighted Hardy spaces $H^p_{|x|^\alpha}$, and the block spaces $B_s(I)$, $I=(-1/2,1/2)$, but there are some differences between them.

(1) As we see, $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ is the space generated by blocks. $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ is an extension of the classical Hardy spaces H^p with $0<p\le 1$, since H^p has an atom decomposition (

see [14] for details). We see that $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ is different from H^p, since H^p- atom has the cancelation properties while (p, s, α) - block has not.

Generally, H^p is regarded as a subspace of L^p, but here we use $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ as a subspace of the weighted Lebesgue space $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ for $0 < p \leq 1$.

(2) It is well-known that the weighted Hardy space $H^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ is a subspace of $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$, and it plays an analogous role as H^p. $H^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ has an atom decomposition similar to H^p (see [24] for details). We see that the size condition and cancelation condition of $H^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ atom in [24] are different from (p, s, α) - blocks.

The weighted Hardy space $H^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ shares an alternative atomic decomposition (see [68] for details). Its atom has the cancellation properties but (p, s, α) - block has not.

(3) $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ is an extension of the block space $B_s(I)$ with $1 < s \leq \infty$ and $I = (-1/2, 1/2)$ introduced by Taibleson and Weiss in the study of the convergence of the Fourier series in [69]. The norm of the function f in $B_s(I)$ is defined as

$$\|f\|_{B_s(I)} = \inf \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_k| \left(1 + \log\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda_k|} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_i|\right)\right),$$

where the infimum is taken over all the decompositions of f in $B_s(I)$. This is different from that of $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$. Some basic properties of $B_s(I)$ and its applications to harmonic analysis have been studied by many authors (see [69], [41], etc), and $B_s(I)$ was used as a subspace of L^1 there.

$B^\phi_s(S^{n-1})$, where $1 \leq s \leq \infty$ and ϕ is a nonnegative function on $\mathbf{R}^+$, is an extension of $B_s(I)$ to higher dimensions. Like in $B_s(I)$, The norm of the function f in $B^\phi_s(S^{n-1})$ is different from that of $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ (see [69] for details). $B^\phi_s(S^{n-1})$ is useful in the study of boundedness of some generalized singular integrals with rough kernels (see, for example, [41]) .

Remarks

(1) The space $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^0}$ for $0 < p < s \leq \infty$ and $\alpha = 0$ was introduced in [38] and the space $BL^{1,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ for $1 \leq s < \infty$ and $-n < \alpha$ in [2]. They are $h_{p,s}$ in [38] and $\mathcal{B}_{s,\alpha+n}$ in [2], respectively.

(2) $BL^{1,1}_{|x|^0} = L^1$. See [59]. In fact, $L^p = BL^{p,s}_{|x|^0}$ for $0 < p \leq 1$ and $0 < p < s \leq \infty$ (see Corollary 2.2 below), and because of this we use the notes $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$.

2.2 Properties

Next, let us give a number of properties of $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$. Among these properties, Theorem 2.1 will be used many times in the estimates of operators, but the others will seldom or even never be used in the following sections. However, in order to help us better understand the spaces $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, we show all these properties and prove them. We also proved in [39] that the dual of $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ are the classical Morrey spaces $M^{s'}_{1-1/p-\alpha/np}$ for $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s$ and $n(p/s - 1) < \alpha < n(p - 1)$.

2.2.1. Some relationships between $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p$ and H^p

A. Between $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$

Theorem 2.1 Let $0 < p < s \leq \infty$ and $-n(1 - p/s) < \alpha \leq 0$. Then

$$BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha} \subset L^p_{|x|^\alpha}.$$

Proof Let b be a (p,s,α)-block with supp $b \subset Q$.

When $0 < s < +\infty$, using Hölder inequality for the index p/s, and noticing $\alpha \le 0$ and $-n(1-p/s) < \alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|b\|^p_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} &\le \|b\|^p_{L^s}\left(\int_Q |x|^{\alpha s/(s-p)}dx\right)^{(s-p)/s} \\ &\le \|b\|^p_{L^s}\left(\int_{\widetilde{Q}} |x|^{\alpha s/(s-p)}dx\right)^{(s-p)/s} \\ &\le C|Q|^{(-\frac{\alpha}{pn}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{s})p}|Q|^{(\frac{\alpha}{pn}+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s})p} = C, \end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{Q}$ denotes the balls obtained by translation of Q and centered at 0.

When $s = +\infty$, we have

$$\|b\|^p_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \le \|b\|^p_{L^\infty}\int_Q |x|^\alpha dx \le \|b\|^p_{L^\infty}\int_{\widetilde{Q}} |x|^\alpha dx \le C|Q|^{(-\frac{\alpha}{pn}-\frac{1}{p})p}|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}+1} = C.$$

C are independent of b.

Let $f \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a decomposition of $f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_i a_j$, such that $\sum_j |\lambda_j|^{\bar{p}} \le \|f\|^{\bar{p}}_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} + \varepsilon < \infty$. Then, we have

$$\|f\|^p_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \le \sum_j |\lambda_j|^p \|a_j\|^p_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \le C\sum_j |\lambda_j|^p < \infty$$

for $0 < p \le 1$, and

$$\|f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \le \sum_j |\lambda_j| \|a_j\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \le C\sum_j |\lambda_j| < \infty$$

for $1 < p < \infty$. Thus, $f \in L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$.

Theorem 2.2 Let $0 < s \le \infty, 0 < p \le 1$ and $0 \le \alpha < \infty$. Then

$$BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha} \supset L^p_{|x|^\alpha}.$$

Proof We use the definition of cube-$BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ which equals to ball-$BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$. Let $f \in L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$. We first consider the simple functions $f = \sum_j c_j \chi_{Q_j}$, where $\{Q_j\}$ is an at most countable set of cubes whose interiors are mutually disjoint. Then

$$f = \sum_j c_j |Q_j|^{1/p+\alpha/np}|Q_j|^{-1/p-\alpha/np}\chi_{Q_j} = \sum_j m_j b_j,$$

where $m_j = c_j|Q_j|^{1/p+\alpha/np}$ and $b_j = |Q_j|^{-1/p-\alpha/np}\chi_{Q_j}$. And noticing $\alpha \ge 0$,

$$\|f\|^p_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} = \sum_j |c_j|^p \int_{Q_j} |x|^\alpha dx \ge \sum_j |c_j|^p \int_{\widetilde{Q_j}} |x|^\alpha dx = \sum_j |c_j|^p |Q_j|^{1+\alpha/n} = \sum_j |m_j|^p, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\widetilde{Q_j}$ denotes the cubes obtained by translation of Q_j and centered at 0. Thus $f \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$.

Next, let $\mathbf{S}$ be the set of all cube-type simple functions with cube's sides which are parallel to the axes. We claim that: $\mathbf{S}$ is dense in $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$. In fact, let $f = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \chi_{E_j}$, where E_j are bounded closed sets which are mutually disjoint and $|c_j| \leq M$. It is easy to see that the set of all these type functions is dense in $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$. And there exist open sets $\widetilde{O_j} \supset E_j$, and $\widetilde{O_j}$ are mutually disjoint. At the same time, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, since E_j are closed set, there exist open sets $\widetilde{\widetilde{O_j}} \supset E_j$ and $\mu_\alpha(\widetilde{\widetilde{O_j}} \setminus E_j) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon M^{-p}N^{-1}$. Let $O_j = \widetilde{O_j} \bigcap \widetilde{\widetilde{O_j}}$, then the open sets O_j are mutually disjoint, $O_j \supset E_j$, and $\mu_\alpha(O_j \setminus E_j) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon M^{-p}N^{-1}$, where $\mu_\alpha(x) = |x|^\alpha$. Since each O_j is an open set, then, by Whitney decomposition theorem, $O_j = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty Q_i^{(j)}$, where $Q_i^{(j)}$ are cubes whose interiors are mutually disjoint and whose sides are parallel to the axes (see [61]), and $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_\alpha(Q_i^{(j)}) = \mu_\alpha(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty Q_i^{(j)}) = \mu_\alpha(O_j) = \mu_\alpha(E_j) + \mu_\alpha(O_j \setminus E_j) < \mu_\alpha(E_j) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon M^{-p}N^{-1} < \infty$. Then there exists k_j such that $\sum_{i=k_j}^\infty \mu_\alpha(Q_i^{(j)}) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon M^{-p}N^{-1}$. Thus $\mu_\alpha(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k_j-1} Q_i^{(j)} \setminus E_j) \leq \mu_\alpha(O_j \setminus E_j) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon M^{-p}N^{-1}$ and $\mu_\alpha(E_j \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_j-1} Q_i^{(j)}) \leq \mu_\alpha(\bigcup_{i=k_j}^\infty Q_i^{(j)}) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon M^{-p}N^{-1}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\| \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \left(\chi_{E_j} - \sum_{i=1}^{k_j-1} \chi_{Q_i^{(j)}} \right) \|^p_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} &= \| \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \left(\chi_{E_j} - \chi_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{k_j-1} Q_i^{(j)}} \right) \|^p_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^N |c_j|^p \left(\mu_\alpha(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k_j-1} Q_i^{(j)} \setminus E_j) + \mu_\alpha(E_j \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_j-1} Q_i^{(j)}) \right) < \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the cube type simple function $\sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^{k_j-1} c_j \chi_{Q_i^{(j)}}$ approaches to f in $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$. We finish the claim.

Now let $f \in L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$, and $\{f_n\} \subset \mathbf{S}$ approaches to f in $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $\{n_j\}$ such that

$$\|f_{n_0}\|^p_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq \|f\|^p_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \|f_{n_k} - f_{n_{k-1}}\|^p_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}.$$

It is easy to see that any cube whose sidelength may not be equal can be expressed as a union of at most countable cubes with equal sidelength. Then, a difference of the two functions in $\mathbf{S}$ is a linear combination of the characteristic functions of at most countable cubes, whose interiors are mutually disjoint. So

$$f_{n_k} - f_{n_{k-1}} = \sum_{j=1}^\infty c_j^{(k)} \chi_{Q_j^{(k)}} = \sum_{j=1}^\infty m_j^{(k)} b_j^{(k)}, k = 0, 1, \cdots,$$

where $f_{n_{-1}} = 0, m_j^{(k)} = c_j^{(k)} |Q_j^{(k)}|^{1/p+\alpha/np}$ and $b_j^{(k)} = |Q_j^{(k)}|^{-1/p-\alpha/np} \chi_{Q_j^{(k)}}$. By (2.1), we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty |m_j^{(0)}|^p \leq \|f_{n_0}\|^p_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq \|f\|^p_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty |m_j^{(k)}|^p \leq \|f_{n_k} - f_{n_{k-1}}\|^p_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}.$$

Thus,

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^\infty (f_{n_k} - f_{n_{k-1}}) + f_{n_0} = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{j=1}^\infty m_j^{(k)} b_j^{(k)}$$

in $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$-norm, and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|m_j^{(k)}|^p \le \|f\|^p_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} = \|f\|^p_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} + \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon,$$

then $\|f\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \le \|f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}}$ follows.

Remark 2.1 For $\alpha = 0$ Theorem 2.2 is known, the case $p = 1$ can be found in [59], and $0 < p \le 1$ in [38].

Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 give

Corollary 2.1 Let $0 < p \le 1$ and $p < s \le \infty$. We have

$$L^p = BL^{p,s}_{|x|^0}.$$

B. Between $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and L^p

Theorem 2.3 Let $0 < p \le s \le \infty$. We have,

A) if $n(p/s - 1) \le \alpha \le n(p-1)$, or $0 < p \le 1, n(p-1) \le \alpha \le 0$,

$$BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha} \subset L^{\frac{np}{n+\alpha}},$$

B) if $-n < \alpha \le n(p/s - 1)$,

$$L^s \subset BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha},$$

C) if $\alpha = n(p/s-1)$,

$$L^s = BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}.$$

Proof A). Let $f \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, then, $f(x) = \sum \lambda_j a_j(x)$, where each a_j is a (p, s, α)-block with supp $a_j \subseteq Q_j$ (ball or cube), and $\sum |\lambda_j|^{\overline{p}} < \infty$. Let $0 < r \le s \le \infty$.

When $1 \le r \le s \le \infty$, by Minkowski inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{L^r} &\le \sum |\lambda_j|\|a_j\|_{L^r} \le \sum |\lambda_j|\|a_j\|_{L^s}|Q_j|^{1/r-1/s} \\ &\le C\sum |\lambda_j||Q_j|^{1/r-1/s}|Q_j|^{-\alpha/pn-1/p+1/s} \\ &= C\sum |\lambda_j||Q_j|^{-\alpha/pn-1/p+1/r}.\end{aligned}$$

For $n(p/s-1) \le \alpha \le n(p-1)$, take $r = \frac{np}{\alpha+n}$, then $1 \le r \le s$. Thus

$$\|f\|_{L^{\frac{np}{\alpha+n}}} \le \sum |\lambda_j| \le C(\sum |\lambda_j|^{\overline{p}})^{1/\overline{p}} < \infty.$$

When $0 < r \le 1$, and $r \le s$, by Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|^r_{L^r} &\le \sum |\lambda_j^r|\|a_j\|^r_{L^r} \le \sum |\lambda_j|^r\|a_j\|^r_{L^s}|Q_j|^{(1/r-1/s)r} \\ &\le C\sum |\lambda_j|^r|Q_j|^{(1/r-1/s)r}|Q_j|^{(-\alpha/pn-1/p+1/s)r} \\ &= C\sum |\lambda_j|^r|Q_j|^{(-\alpha/pn-1/p+1/r)r}.\end{aligned}$$

For $n(p-1) \le \alpha \le 0$, take $r = \frac{np}{\alpha+n}$, then $0 < p \le r \le 1$, and $r \le s$. We then have

$$\|f\|_{L^{\frac{np}{\alpha+n}}} \le C(\sum |\lambda_j|^r)^{1/r} \le C(\sum |\lambda_j|^p)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

B). Assume first that $\alpha < n(p/s-1)$. Let $f \in L^s$, write

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k|^{\frac{\alpha}{pn}+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}} \|f\|_{L^s} b_k,$$

where

$$b_1 = \frac{f\chi_{B_1}}{\|f\|_{L^s}}, \quad b_k = \frac{f\chi_{C_k}}{|B_k|^{\frac{\alpha}{pn}+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}}\|f\|_{L^s}}, \quad k = 2, 3, \cdots,$$

here $B_k = B(0, 2^k)$ and $C_k = B_k \setminus B_{k-1}, k = 1, 2, \cdots$. We see that all b_k are $(p, s, \alpha)-$blocks, and

$$\|f\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k|^{(\frac{\alpha}{np}+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s})\bar{p}} \|f\|^{\bar{p}}_{L^s}\right)^{1/\bar{p}} \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{nk(\frac{\alpha}{np}+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s})\bar{p}}\right)^{1/\bar{p}} \|f\|_{L^s} = C\|f\|_{L^s}$$

since $\frac{\alpha}{np} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{s} < 0$.

Now assume that $\alpha = n(p/s-1)$ (i.e. $s = np/(\alpha+n)$), and $f \in L^s$.

Let us first consider the case of $1 \leq s < \infty$. Since $f\chi_{B_k}$ is a Cauchy sequence in L^s then there exists $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\|f\chi_{B_{n_{k+1}}} - f\chi_{B_{n_k}}\|_{L^s} < 2^{-k}\|f\|_{L^s}. \tag{2.2}$$

Let

$$f = f\chi_{B_{n_1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(f\chi_{B_{n_{k+1}}} - f\chi_{B_{n_k}}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k}\|f\|_{L^s} b_k,$$

where

$$b_0 = \frac{f\chi_{B_{n_1}}}{\|f\|_{L^s}}, \quad b_k = \frac{f(\chi_{B_{n_{k+1}}} - \chi_{B_{n_k}})}{2^{-k}\|f\|_{L^s}}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots.$$

Noticing that $\frac{\alpha}{pn} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{s} = 0$ and (2.2), we see that b_k are $(p, s, \alpha)-$blocks. This shows that $f \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and $\|f\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C\|f\|_{L^s}$.

For the case of $0 < s < 1$, since $f \in L^s$, we have $|f|^s \in L^1$, and it follows that $|f|^s\chi_{B_k}$ is a Cauchy sequence in L^1. Then we can find $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, and replace (2.2) with

$$\||f|^s\chi_{B_{n_{k+1}}} - |f|^s\chi_{B_{n_k}}\|_{L^1} < 2^{-sk}\||f|^s\|_{L^1}.$$

And the remain statement is the same as the case of $1 \leq s < \infty$.

Then we can obtain C) from A) and B). Thus, we finish the proof of Theorem 2.3.

C. Between $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and H^p

Theorem 2.4 Let $0 < s \leq \infty, 0 < p < \infty$ and $n(p-1) \leq \alpha < \infty$. Then

$$H^{\frac{np}{n+\alpha}} \subset BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}.$$

Proof This can be seen easily from the atom decomposition theory of Hardy space $H^{\frac{np}{n+\alpha}}$ (see for example [14]).

D. Between $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$

It is easy to check that

Theorem 2.5 Let $0 < p < \infty, 0 < s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \infty$ and $-\infty < \alpha < \infty$. Then

$$BL^{p,s_2}_{|x|^\alpha} \subset BL^{p,s_1}_{|x|^\alpha}.$$

2.2.2 Completeness

Let $0 < s \leq \infty, 0 < p \leq \infty$ and $-\infty < \alpha < \infty$. It is easy to see that, (i) if $f = 0$, then $\|f\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} = 0$, (ii) $\|\cdot\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}}$ are positive homogeneous, and (iii) $\|\cdot\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}}$ is subadditive. Now we prove (iii). Let $f, g \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist the block -decompositions of f and g: $f = \sum m_k b_k$ and $g = \sum n_j c_j$ such that

$$\|f\|^{\bar{p}}_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \geq \sum_k |m_k|^{\bar{p}} - \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \|g\|^{\bar{p}}_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \geq \sum_j |n_j|^{\bar{p}} - \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$\|f+g\|^{\bar{p}}_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq \sum_k |m_k|^{\bar{p}} + \sum_j |n_j|^{\bar{p}} \leq \|f\|^{\bar{p}}_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} + \|g\|^{\bar{p}}_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} + 2\varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, (iii) follows.

For $0 < s \leq \infty, 0 < p < s, -n(1-p/s) < \alpha \leq 0$, by Theorem 2.1, we see that

(iv) if $\|f\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} = 0$, then $f = 0$ μ_α-a.e..

So $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ are normed-spaces for $0 < s \leq \infty, 0 < p < s$ and $-n(1-p/s) < \alpha \leq 0$, and they are also quasi-normed spaces in the sense of (i)∼ (iii) for $0 < s \leq \infty, 0 < p \leq \infty$ and $-\infty < \alpha < \infty$.

Theorem 2.6 Let $0 < s \leq \infty, 0 < p < s$ and $-n(1-p/s) < \alpha \leq 0$. Then, $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ are complete.

Proof Let $\{u_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a subsequence $\{u_{n_j}\}$ of $\{u_n\}$ such that

$$\|u_{n_{j+1}} - u_{n_j}\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^j}, \qquad j = 1, 2, \cdots,$$

and

$$\|u_n - u_{n_1}\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq \varepsilon$$

for $n > n_1$. Set $\bar{u} = \sum_{j=1}^\infty (u_{n_{j+1}} - u_{n_j})$. $\bar{u}$ can be represented as a linear combination of $(p, s, \alpha)-$ blocks since $u_{n_{j+1}} - u_{n_j} \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$. And, by Theorem 2.1, we see that

$$\|\bar{u}\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq \|\bar{u}\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^\infty \|(u_{n_{j+1}} - u_{n_j})\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{\varepsilon}{2^j} = \varepsilon,$$

for $0 < s \leq \infty, 0 < p < s$ and $-n(1-p/s) < \alpha \leq 0$. Then $\bar{u} = \sum_{j=1}^\infty (u_{n_{j+1}} - u_{n_j})$ converges μ_α-a.e., and $\bar{u} \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$. Let $u = \bar{u} + u_{n_1}$, then $u \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, and

$$\|u_n - u\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq \|u_n - u_{n_1}\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} + \|\bar{u}\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq 2\varepsilon$$

for $n > n_1$. Thus, we finish the proof for the homogeneous case. And the proof for the non-homogeneous case is similar.

2.2.3 Density

We denote by $C^m, 0 \leq m \leq \infty$, the set of m-times continuously differentiable functions on $\mathbf{R}^n$, by C_c^m the set of all functions in C^m having a compact support, and by $\mathcal{S}$ Schwartz spaces. Let

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} Ce^{1/(|x|^2-1)} & \text{if } |x| < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

such that $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \varphi(x)dx = 1$ and $\varphi_t(x) = \frac{1}{t^n}\varphi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)$.

Theorem 2.7 Let $0 < p < \infty, 1 \leq s < \infty$ and $-n < \alpha < \infty$. Then C_c^0 is dense in $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$.

Proof Let $f \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, i.e. $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k a_k(x)$ where each a_k is a (p,s,α)-block with supp $a_k \subseteq Q_k$ and $\sum_{k=1}^\infty |\lambda_k|^{\bar{p}} < \infty$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find an i_0 such that

$$\sum_{k=i_0+1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^{\bar{p}} < \varepsilon^{\bar{p}}. \tag{2.3}$$

Let $f_{i_0}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{i_0} \lambda_k a_k(x)$, supp $f_{i_0} \subseteq Q(\supseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{i_0} Q_k)$ and $f_{i_0} \in L^s(Q)$. We can find $g_{i_0} \in C^0(Q)$ such that

$$\|f_{i_0} - g_{i_0}\|_{L^s(Q)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2|2Q|^{\alpha/np+1/p-1/s}}. \tag{2.4}$$

Let

$$\tilde{g}_{i_0}(x) = \begin{cases} g_{i_0}(x) & \text{if } x \in Q, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in Q^c, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{g}_{i_0}^t = \tilde{g}_{i_0} * \varphi_t.$$

Then, for t small enough, we have

$$\|\tilde{g}_{i_0}^t - \tilde{g}_{i_0}\|_{L^s} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2|2Q|^{\alpha/np+1/p-1/s}}, \tag{2.5}$$

and supp $\tilde{g}_{i_0}^t \subseteq$ supp $2\tilde{g}_{i_0} \subseteq 2Q$. Thus, by (2.4) and (2.5),

$$\|f_{i_0} - \tilde{g}_{i_0}^t\|_{L^s} \leq \|f_{i_0} - \tilde{g}_{i_0}\|_{L^s} + \|\tilde{g}_{i_0}^t - \tilde{g}_{i_0}\|_{L^s} < \frac{\varepsilon}{|2Q|^{\alpha/np+1/p-1/s}},$$

and supp $(f_{i_0} - \tilde{g}_{i_0}^t) \subseteq 2Q$. Let $h_{i_0}(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(f_{i_0}(x) - \tilde{g}_{i_0}^t(x))$. Then $h_{i_0}(x)$ is a (p,s,α)-block, and it follows that $f_{i_0}(x) - \tilde{g}_{i_0}^t(x) = \varepsilon h_{i_0}(x) \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, and

$$\|f_{i_0} - \tilde{g}_{i_0}^t\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq \varepsilon. \tag{2.6}$$

By (2.3) and (2.6), and the subadditive of $\|\cdot\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \tilde{g}_{i_0}^t\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} &\leq \|f_{i_0} - \tilde{g}_{i_0}^t\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} + \|\sum_{k=i_0+1}^{\infty} \lambda_k a_k(x)\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \left(\sum_{k=i_0+1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^{\bar{p}}\right)^{1/\bar{p}} \leq 2\varepsilon^p. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.8 Let $0 < p < \infty, 1 \leq s < \infty$ and $-n < \alpha < \infty$. If $u(x)$ is a continuous function on $\mathbf{R}^n$ with compact support, then $u_t(x) = u * \varphi_t(x) \to u(x)$ in $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ as $t \to 0$.

Proof Let supp $u \subseteq K$ which is a compact set in $\mathbf{R}^n$. Then supp $(u_t - u) \subseteq K_1 \subset B(x_0, r) = B, r > 1$. And for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and t small enough, $\max_{x \in \mathbf{R}^n} |u_t(x) - u(x)| < \varepsilon$, we have

$$\|u_t - u\|_{L^s} \leq \varepsilon |B|^{1/s} = \varepsilon |B|^{\alpha/np + 1/p} |B|^{-\alpha/np - 1/p + 1/s}.$$

So, $a(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon |B|^{\alpha/np+1/p}}(u_t(x) - u(t))$ is a (p, s, α)-block, and it follows that $u_t(x) - u(t) = \varepsilon |B|^{\alpha/np+1/p} a(x) \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and

$$\|u_t - u\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq \varepsilon |B|^{\alpha/np+1/p} \to 0, \text{ as } t \to 0.$$

Theorem 2.9 Let $0 < p \leq 1, 1 < s < \infty$ and $-n(1 - p/s) < \alpha < n(p - 1)$, or let $1 < p < s < \infty$ and $-n(1 - p/s) < \alpha \leq 0$. If $u(x) \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, then $u_t(x) = u * \varphi_t(x) \to u(x)$ in $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ as $t \to 0$.

Proof Let $u \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$. Since $|\varphi(x)| < C$, we have $u_t(x) \leq CMu(x)$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, by Theorem 2.10 above, we can find a $v(x) \in C_c^0$ such that

$$\|u - v\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq \varepsilon. \tag{2.7}$$

For t small enough, using Theorem 2.8 and (2.7), and the boundedness of M on $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ (Theorem 4.4 below), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_t - u\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} &\leq \|u_t - v_t\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} + \|v_t - v\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} + \|u - v\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}}. \\
&\leq \|M(u - v)\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} + 2\varepsilon \\
&\leq C\|u - v\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} + 2\varepsilon \leq C\varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

where M is Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator.

Theorem 2.9 implies that

Theorem 2.10 Let $0 < p \leq 1, 1 < s < \infty$ and $-n(1 - p/s) < \alpha < n(p - 1)$, or let $1 < p < s < \infty$ and $-n(1 - p/s) < \alpha \leq 0$. Then C^∞ is dense in $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$.

In fact, we have

Theorem 2.11 Let $0 < p < \infty, 1 \leq s < \infty$ and $-n < \alpha < \infty$.

1) C_c^∞ is dense in $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$,

2) $\mathcal{S}$ is dense in $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$.

Proof Since $C_c^\infty \subset \mathcal{S}$, 1) implies 2). We just need to prove 1). For $f \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, by Theorem 2.7 above, we can find a $g \in C_c^0$ such that

$$\|f - g\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} < \varepsilon.$$

As t is small enough, by Theorem 2.8, we have

$$\|g_t - g\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} < \varepsilon.$$

So,

$$\|f-g_t\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \le \|f-g\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} + \|g_t-g\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} < 2\varepsilon.$$

Theorem 2.12 Let $0<p<+\infty, 1\le s<\infty$ and $-n<\alpha<\infty$. Then $\mathcal{S}\subset BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$.

Proof Let $f\in\mathcal{S}$, we have $|f(x)|<\frac{C_N}{(1+|x|^2)^N}$ for all $N\in\mathbf{N}$ and $x\in\mathbf{R}^n$. Write

$$f(x)=\chi_{B_1}(x)f(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\chi_{C_k}(x)f(x)=\lambda_1\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\chi_{B_1}(x)f(x)+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\lambda_k\frac{1}{\lambda_k}\chi_{C_k}(x)f(x),$$

here $B_k=B(0,2^k)$, and $C_k=B_k\setminus B_{k-1}, k=1,2,\cdots$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\frac{1}{\lambda_k}\chi_{C_k}f\|_{L^s} &\le \frac{1}{\lambda_k}\frac{1}{(1+2^{2(k-1)})^N}|C_k|^{\frac{1}{s}}\\ &\le \frac{1}{\lambda_k}\frac{2^{k(\frac{\alpha}{pn}-\frac{1}{p})}}{(1+2^{2(k-1)})^N}|C_k|^{-\frac{\alpha}{pn}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{s}}\\ &< |C_k|^{-\frac{\alpha}{pn}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{s}}\end{aligned}$$

if

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_k}\frac{2^{k(\frac{\alpha}{pn}+\frac{1}{p})}}{(1+2^{2(k-1)})^N}<1 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \lambda_k>\frac{2^{k(\frac{\alpha}{pn}+\frac{1}{p})}}{(1+2^{2(k-1)})^N}. \tag{2.8}$$

Let $N=\left[\frac{\alpha}{pn}+\frac{1}{p}\right]+2$ and $\lambda_k=\frac{2^{k(\frac{\alpha}{pn}+\frac{1}{p})}}{\left(1+2^{2(k-1)}\right)^{[\frac{\alpha}{pn}+\frac{1}{p}]+1}}$, then (2.8) holds, and

$$\lambda_k<\frac{2^{k(\frac{\alpha}{pn}+\frac{1}{p})}}{(1+|2|^{2(k-1)})^{\frac{\alpha}{pn}+\frac{1}{p}}}\le\frac{C}{2^{k(\frac{\alpha}{pn}+\frac{1}{p})}},$$

noticing $-n<\alpha$. Thus, $\frac{1}{\lambda_k}\chi_{C_k}(x)f(x)$ are (p,s,α)-blocks for $k=2,3,\cdots$, and $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}|\lambda_k|^p\le\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\frac{C}{2^{k(\frac{\alpha}{n}+1)}}<\infty$. The discuss above also applies to $\chi_{B_1}(x)f(x)$. Therefore, We obtain that $f\in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$.

3. A molecular theorem

The molecular theory for Hardy spaces H^p was established by Coifman [13], Coifman and Weiss [14], and Taibleson and Weiss [70]. The weighted case can be found in [36]. In this section, we will prove a molecular theorem for $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$. Next, from Section 4 to Section 7 we will make use of this theorem to obtain some estimates beyond endpoint for some operators.

First, let us introduce a definition.

Definition 3.1 Let $0<s<+\infty, 0<p<+\infty, -\infty<\alpha<+\infty, -\infty<A_0, B_0<\infty, A_0-B_0=\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\alpha}{np}$ and $-\infty<\varepsilon<A_0$. Set $a=A_0-\varepsilon$, and $b=B_0-\varepsilon$. A function $M(x)\in L^s$ is said to be a (p,s,α,ε)-molecular (centerred at x_0), if

(i) $M(x)|x-x_0|^{nb}\in L^s$,

(ii) $\|M\|_{L^s}^{a/b}\|M(x)|x-x_0|^{nb}\|_{L^s}^{1-a/b}\equiv\Re(M)<\infty$.

Theorem 3.1 Let $p, s, \alpha, \varepsilon, a, b$ as in Definition 3.1. Every $(p, s, \alpha, \varepsilon)$-molecular $M(x)$ centered at any point is in $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and $\|M\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C\Re(M)$. Here the constant C is independent of M.

Proof Without loss of generality, we can assume $\Re(M) = 1$. In fact, assume $\|M\|_{\dot{B}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C$ holds whenever $\Re(M) = 1$. Then, for general M, let $M' = M/\Re(M)$. We have $\Re(M') = 1$ and hence $\|\Re(M)M'\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq \Re(M)\|M'\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C\Re(M)$. And we can also assume $M(x)$ has the center at $x_0 = 0$ by a translation transformation.

Let M be a $(p, s, \alpha, \varepsilon)$-molecular centered at 0 satisfying $\Re(M) = 1$. Define $B(0, r)$ by setting $\|M\|_{L^s} = |B(0, r)|^{1/s-1/p-\alpha/np}$. Let $2^{k_0-1} < r \leq 2^{k_0}$, and consider the set $E_0 = B_{k_0}(0), E_k = C_{k_0+k}(0)$, for $k = 1, 2, ...,$ here $B_{k_0}(0) = B(0, 2^{k_0}), C_{k_0+k}(0) = B_{k_0+k}(0) \setminus B_{k_0+k-1}(0)$. Set $M_k = M\chi_{E_k}$.

By $\Re(M) = 1$, we have

$$\|M(x)|x|^{nb}\|_{L^s}^{1-a/b} = \|M\|_{L^s}^{-a/b}.$$

Then,

$$\|M(x)|x|^{nb}\|_{L^s} = \|M\|_{L^s}^{-\frac{a}{b}\frac{b}{b-a}} = |B(0, r)|^{-\left(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\alpha}{np}\right)\frac{a}{b-a}} = |B(0, r)|^a \leq C_{a,n}|B_{k_0}(0)|^a. \tag{3.1}$$

Thus, using (3.1), for $k = 1, 2, ...,$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{E_k} M_k^s(x)dx &= \int_{E_k} M_k^s(x)|x|^{nbs}|x|^{-nbs}dx \\
&\leq \left(2^{k_0+k-1}\right)^{-nbs} \int_{E_k} M_k^s(x)|x|^{nbs}dx \\
&\leq C_{a,n}\left(2^{k_0+k-1}\right)^{ns(a-b)} 2^{-knsa} \\
&\leq C_{a,b,s,n}2^{-knsa}|B_{k_0+k}(0)|^{(1/s-1/p-\alpha/np)s},
\end{aligned}$$

when $b > 0$; and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{E_k} M_k^s(x)dx &= \int_{E_k} M_k^s(x)|x|^{nbs}|x|^{-nbs}dx \\
&\leq \left(2^{k_0+k+1}\right)^{-nbs} \int_{E_k} M_k^s(x)|x|^{nbs}dx \\
&\leq C_{a,n}\left(2^{k_0+k+1}\right)^{ns(a-b)} 2^{-knsa} \\
&\leq C_{a,b,s,n}2^{-knsa}|B_{k_0+k}(0)|^{(1/s-1/p-\alpha/np)s},
\end{aligned}$$

when $b \leq 0$. And

$$\|M_0\|_{L^s} \leq \|M\|_{L^s} = |B(0, r)|^{1/s-1/p-\alpha/np} \leq C_{\alpha,p,s,n}|B_{k_0}(0)|^{1/s-1/p-\alpha/np}.$$

Hence, for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, we have

$$\|M_k\|_{L^s} \leq C2^{-kna}|B_{k_0+k}(0)|^{1/s-1/p-\alpha/np},$$

where C is an absolute constant. Let

$$a_k(x) = 2^{kna} M_k(x), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, ...,$$

then

$$M(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-kna} 2^{kna} M_k(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-kna} a_k(x),$$

and each a_k is a (α, p, s)-block centered at 0 with $\mathrm{supp} a_k \subset B_{k_0+k}(0)$, and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-kna\bar{p}} = C < \infty$ since $a > 0$. That is $\|M\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \le C$. Thus, we finish the proof of Theorem 3.1.

4. Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator

In this section, we hope to get some estimates of Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M on $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$. In fact, we prove that some sublinear operators satisfying (1.14) and (1.15) are bounded on $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$.

Theorem 4.1 Let $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \le s$ and $-n(1-p/s) \le \alpha < n(p-1)$. Suppose that a sublinear operator H satisfies (1.14) and is bounded on L^s. Then

$$\|Hh\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \le C$$

for every $(p, s, \alpha)-$block h, where constant C is independent of h.

Proof It suffices to check that Hh is a $(p, s, \alpha, \varepsilon)$-molecular for every $(p, s, \alpha)-$block h centered at any point and $\Re(Hh) \le C$, where C is independent of h.

Since $1 - 1/p - \alpha/np > 0$ and $1 - 1/s > 0$, we can choose ε such that

$$0 < \varepsilon < \min\{1 - 1/p - \alpha/np, 1 - 1/s\}.$$

Set $a = 1 - 1/p - \alpha/np - \varepsilon$ and $b = 1 - 1/s - \varepsilon$. We see that $a > 0, b > 0$ and $b - a = -(1/s - 1/p - \alpha/np) \ge 0$ by $-n(1 - p/s) \le \alpha$. Given a $(p, s, \alpha)-$block h with $\mathrm{supp} h \subset B(x_0, r) \subset B_{k_0}(x_0)$ and $2^{k_0-1} < r \le 2^{k_0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Hh(x)|x - x_0|^{nb}\|^s_{L^s} &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |Hh(x)|^s |x - x_0|^{snb} dx \\ &\le \left(\int_{|x-x_0|<2^{k_0+1}} + \int_{|x-x_0|\ge 2^{k_0+1}} \right) |Hh(x)|^s |x - x_0|^{snb} dx \\ &= J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned}$$

For J_1, noticing that $b > 0$, and by L^s boundedness of H, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \int_{|x-x_0|<2^{k_0+1}} |Hh(x)|^s |x - x_0|^{snb} dx \\ &\le C 2^{sk_0nb} \|Hh\|^s_{L^s} \\ &\le C 2^{sk_0nb} \|h\|^s_{L^s}. \end{aligned}$$

For J_2, by (1.14) and Hölder inequality, and noticing that $b+1/s-1=-\varepsilon<0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= C\int_{|x-x_0|\geq 2^{k_0+1}} |Hh(x)|^s|x-x_0|^{snb}dx \\ &\leq \int_{|x-x_0|\geq 2^{k_0+1}} \|h\|_{L^1}^s|x-x_0|^{snb-sn}dx \\ &= C2^{sk_0n(b-1+1/s)}\|h\|_{L^1}^s \\ &\leq C2^{sk_0nb}\|h\|_{L^s}^s. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|Hh(x)|x-x_0|^{nb}\|_{L^s} \leq C2^{k_0nb}\|h\|_{L^s} \leq C2^{k_0nb}2^{k_0n(1/s-1/p-\alpha/np)}.$$

And by the boundedness of H on L^s,

$$\|Hh\|_{L^s} \leq C\|h\|_{L^s} \leq C2^{k_0n(1/s-1/p-\alpha/np)}.$$

Then, noticing $a/b>0$ and $1-a/b\leq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(Hh) &\leq C2^{k_0n(1/s-1/p-\alpha/np)a/b}2^{k_0n(b+1/s-1/p-\alpha/np)(1-a/b)} \\ &= C2^{k_0n(b-a+1/s-1/p-\alpha/np)} = C2^0 = C. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we finish the proof of Theorem 4.1.

From Theorem 4.1 and the subadditivity of norm, it is easy to get the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2 Let $1<s<\infty, 0<p\leq s$ and $-n(1-p/s)\leq\alpha<n(p-1)$. Suppose that a sublinear operator H is bounded on L^s, and satisfies (1.14) and (1.15). Then,

$$H \text{ is of type } (BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}).$$

From Theorems 2.1 and 4.2, we have

Theorem 4.3 Let $1<s<\infty, 0<p<s, -n(1-p/s)<\alpha<n(p-1)$ and $\alpha\leq 0$. Suppose that a sublinear operator H is bounded on L^s, and satisfies (1.14) and (1.15). Then

$$H \text{ is of type } (BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha}).$$

Hardy-Littlewood maximal function satisfies the conditions in Theorem 4.1 ∼ Theorem 4.3. Define Hardy-Littlewood maximal function as

$$Mf(x)=\sup_{x\in B}\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B|f(y)|dy$$

for $f\in L^1_{loc}$. Then M satisfies the size conditions (1.14). In fact, let $\text{supp} f\subset B_k(x_0)$ and $x\in(B_{k+1}(x_0))^c$. For any $y\in B_k(x_0)=B(x_0,2^k)$ and $x\in(B_{k+1}(x_0))^c$ for $k\in\mathbf{Z}$, we have $|x-y|\geq|x-x_0|/2$. Then, the diameter of the ball B, which includes x and intersects with $B_k(x_0)$, is not less than $|x-x_0|/2$, and it follows that $|B|\geq C|x-x_0|^n$. So

$$Mf(x)=\sup_{x\in B}\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B|f(y)|dy=\sup_{x\in B}\frac{1}{|B|}\int_{B\cap B_k(x_0)}|f(y)|dy\leq\frac{C\|f\|_{L^1}}{|x-x_0|^n}$$

for $x \in (B_{k+1}(x_0))^c$.

By Minkowski inequality, it is easy to see that M satisfies (1.15). It is known that M is a bounded operator on L^s with $1 < s < \infty$, so we have

Theorem 4.4 Let $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s$ and $-n(1-p/s) \leq \alpha < n(p-1)$, then

$$M \text{ is of type } (BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}).$$

And let $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p < s, -n(1-p/s) < \alpha < n(p-1)$ and $\alpha \leq 0$, then

$$M \text{ is of type } (BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha}). \tag{4.1}$$

(4.1) is sharp in the sense that M fails to be of type $(BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha})$ for $s = 1$ or $n(p-1) \leq \alpha < \infty$. In fact, we have

Theorem 4.5 A) Let $s = 1, 0 < p \leq 1$ and $-\infty < \alpha \leq n(p-1)$. Then there exists $f \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ such that Mf fails to be of type $(BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha})$.

B) Let $0 < p \leq s \leq \infty$. If $\alpha = n(p-1)$, or $0 < p \leq 1, n(p-1) \leq \alpha \leq 0$, then Mf fails to be of type $(BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha})$ for all $f \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$.

C) If $0 < s \leq \infty$ and $0 < p < \infty, n(p-1) \leq \alpha < \infty$, then there exists $f \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ such that Mf fails to be of type $(BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha})$.

Proof When $s = 1, 0 < p \leq 1$ and $-\infty < \alpha \leq n(p-1)$, by Theorem 2.4 B), we have $L^1 \subset BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$.

When $0 < p \leq s \leq \infty, \alpha = n(p-1)$, or $0 < p \leq 1, n(p-1) \leq \alpha \leq 0$, by Theorem 2.4 A), we see that $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha} \subset L^{\frac{np}{n+\alpha}}$, and $\frac{np}{n+\alpha} \leq 1$.

When $0 < s \leq \infty, 0 < p < \infty$ and $n(p-1) \leq \alpha < \infty$, by Theorem 2.5, we have $H^{\frac{np}{n+\alpha}} \subset BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, and $\frac{np}{n+\alpha} \leq 1$.

The remain of the proof follows from the following

Claim: Let $0 < p < \infty, n(p-1) \leq \alpha < \infty, 0 < q \leq 1$ and $f \in L^q$. Then Mf is never in $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ if $f \neq 0$.

See [40] for a proof of Claim. Thus, we finish the proof of Theorem 4.5.

The conditions in Theorem 4.1 $\sim$ Theorem 4.3 are also satisfied by Littlewood-Paley functions. Suppose that ψ is integrable on $\mathbf{R}^n$ and

(i) $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \psi(x)dx = 0$,

(ii) $|\psi(x)| \leq c(1+|x|)^{-(n+\beta)}$, for some $\beta > 0$,

(iii) $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\psi(x+y) - \psi(x)|dx \leq c|y|^\gamma$, all $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$, for some $\gamma > 0$.

Let $\psi_t(x) = t^{-n}\psi(x/t)$ with $t > 0$. The Littlewood-Paley g-function of f is defined by

$$g_\psi(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |f * \psi_t(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/2}.$$

The Lusin area function of f is defined by

$$S_{\psi,a}(f)(x) = \left(\frac{1}{a^n|B_0|} \int_{\Gamma_a(x)} |f * \psi_t(y)|^2 t^{-n} dy \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/2},$$

where B_0 is the unit ball of $\mathbf{R}^n$ and $\Gamma_a(x) = \{(y,t) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+ : |x-y| < at\}$.

The Littlewood-Paley g_λ^*-function of f is defined by

$$g_{\psi,\lambda}^*(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{|f * \psi_t(y)|^2}{(1+\frac{|x-y|}{t})^{2\lambda}} t^{-n} dy \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/2}.$$

As in [37] we can check that $g_\psi(f), S_{\psi,a}(f)$ and $g_{\psi,\lambda}^*(f)$ satisfy the size conditions (1.14). By Minkowski inequality, it is easy to see that $g_\psi(f), S_{\psi,a}(f)$ and $g_{\psi,\lambda}^*(f)$ satisfy (1.15). By the boundedness of these operators on L^s with $1 < s < \infty$, we have

Theorem 4.6 Let ψ satisfies (i),(ii) and (iii) above. For $g_\psi(f), S_{\psi,a}(f)$ and $g_{\psi,\lambda}^*(f)$, the same conclusions hold as those stated in Theorem 4.4.

The conditions in Theorem 4.1 $\sim$ Theorem 4.3 are also satisfied by many other operators arising in harmonic analysis, and we will discuss this in section 7.

5. Bochner-Riesz means

B_R^λ can be written as a convolution operator

$$B_R^\lambda f(x) = (f * K_R^\lambda)(x)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}$, where $K_R^\lambda(x) = [(1-|\xi/R|^2)_+^\lambda]\check{}\,(x)$, and $\check{g}$ denotes the inverse Fourier transform of g.

We will prove that

Theorem 5.1 Let $\frac{n-1}{2(n+1)} < \lambda \leq \frac{n-1}{2}, p'_\lambda < s < p_\lambda, 0 < p \leq s$ and $n(\frac{p}{s}-1) \leq \alpha < n(\frac{p}{p'_\lambda}-1)$. Then (1.3) holds.

Theorem 5.2 Let $0 < R < \infty$, and let $\frac{n-1}{2(n+1)} < \lambda \leq \frac{n-1}{2}, p'_\lambda < s < p_\lambda, 0 < p < s, n(\frac{p}{s}-1) < \alpha < n(\frac{p}{p'_\lambda}-1)$ and $\alpha \leq 0$. Then (1.4) holds.

Since Theorem 2.5, we can extend this to $p_\lambda \leq s < \infty$.

Corollary 5.1 Let $0 < R < \infty$, and let $\frac{n-1}{2(n+1)} < \lambda \leq \frac{n-1}{2}, s = p_\lambda, 0 < p < s, n(\frac{p}{p_\lambda}-1) < \alpha < n(\frac{p}{p'_\lambda}-1)$ and $\alpha \leq 0$. Then (1.4) holds.

When $\lambda = \frac{n-1}{2}$, these results can extend to the maximal Bochner-Riesz operators. Noticing that $p'_{\frac{n-1}{2}} = 1, p_{\frac{n-1}{2}} = \infty$, we have

Theorem 5.3 Let $\lambda = \frac{n-1}{2}, 1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s$ and $n(\frac{p}{s}-1) \leq \alpha < n(p-1)$. Then (1.6) holds.

Theorem 5.4 Let $\lambda = \frac{n-1}{2}, 1 < s < \infty, 0 < p < s, n(\frac{p}{s}-1) < \alpha < n(p-1)$ and $\alpha \leq 0$. Then (1.7) holds.

When $\lambda > \frac{n-1}{2}$, we have also

Theorem 5.5 Let $\lambda > \frac{n-1}{2}, 1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s$ and $n(\frac{p}{s}-1) \leq \alpha < n(p-1)$. Then (1.6) holds.

Theorem 5.6 Let $\lambda > \frac{n-1}{2}, 1 < s < \infty, 0 < p < s, n(\frac{p}{s}-1) < \alpha < n(p-1)$ and $\alpha \leq 0$. Then (1.7) holds.

We have the following convergence results.

Theorem 5.7 (i) Let λ, s, p and α under the conditions of Theorem 5.2, or Theorem 5.4, or Theorem 5.6. Then (1.5) holds.

It is known that the boundedness of B^λ_* on L^p and the maximal principle (see Stein [64]) imply that $B^\lambda_R f$ converges to f almost everywhere as R tends to ∞, for $f \in L^p$. Similarly, we can also get a μ_α-a.e. convergence result for $B^\lambda_R f$ by Theorem 5.3 (or Theorem 5.5) and a similar maximal principle, for $f \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ with λ, s, p and α under the conditions of Theorem 5.3 (or Theorem 5.5). But this does not imply new information since $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha} \subset L^{\frac{np}{n+\alpha}}$ and $\frac{np}{n+\alpha} > 1$ (see Theorem 2.4).

Next, We prove the Theorems above. First, let us give an outline of the proof of Theorem 5.1. In order to prove that B^λ_1 is of type $(BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha})$, by the equality $B^\lambda_1 f = Hf + Tf$ (i.e.(5.6) below, where Hf is controlled by Hardy-Littlewood maximal function Mf), we need only to prove that this holds for T since Theorem 4.4. This can be divided into three steps. Step 1, we prove that

$$\|B^\lambda_1 h\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C \tag{5.1}$$

for all (p, s, α)-blocks h of restrict II-type, where C is independent of h, and it follows that

$$\|Th\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C \tag{5.2}$$

for all (p, s, α)-blocks h of restrict II-type, since Theorem 4.4. Step 2, we prove that (5.2) holds for all (p, s, α)-blocks h of restrict I-type. Thus, (5.2) holds for all (p, s, α)-blocks h. Step 3, we prove that

$$Tf = \sum_j \mu_j T a_j \tag{5.3}$$

for $f = \sum_j \mu_j a_j \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ with s, p and α under the conditions of Theorem 5.1. From these, it is easy to get that T is of type $(BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha})$, then B^λ_1 follows since (5.6) below and Theorem 4.4.

Proof of Theorem 5.1

Step 1.

we consider the case of (p, s, α)-block of restrict II-type.

Proposition 5.1 Let $\lambda \leq \frac{n-1}{2}, p'_\lambda < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s$ and $n(\frac{p}{s}-1) \leq \alpha < n(\frac{p}{p'_\lambda}-1)$. If B^λ_1 is bounded on L^s, then (5.1) holds for all (p, s, α)-blocks h of restrict II-type.

In fact, we can prove the following

Proposition 5.2 Let $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq s, n(\frac{p}{s} - 1) \leq \alpha < n(p-1)$ and $\frac{n+\alpha}{p} < \delta \leq n$. Suppose that a sublinear operator K satisfies the size condition as following

$$|Kf(x)| \leq C\|f\|_{L^1}/|x - x_0|^\delta \tag{5.4}$$

when supp $f \subseteq B(x_0, 2^k)$ and $|x - x_0| \geq 2^{k+1}$ with $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. If K is bounded on L^s, then

$$\|Kh\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C$$

for all (p, s, α)-blocks h of restrict II-type, where C is independent of h.

We can check that the following size condition implies the condition (5.4):

$$|Kf(x)| \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^\delta} dy, \qquad x \notin \text{supp} f, \tag{5.5}$$

for any integral function with compact support. In fact, assume that K satisfies (5.5). Let $y \in B_k(x_0) = B(x_0, 2^k)$ and $x \in (B_{k+1}(x_0))^c$ (i.e. $|x - x_0| \geq 2^{k+1}$). For $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have $|x-y| \geq 2^k \geq |y - x_0|$, and it follows that $|x - x_0| \leq |x-y| + |y-x_0| \leq 2|x-y|$. Then K satisfies (5.4).

It is known that

$$|B_1^\lambda f(x)| \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{\frac{n+1}{2}+\lambda}} dy$$

for $f \in L^s$ with $1 < s < \infty$.

Let $\delta = \frac{n+1}{2} + \lambda$, it is easy to check that λ, s, p and α satisfy the conditions of Proposition 5.1 if they satisfy Proposition 5.2. So, Proposition 5.1 is a special case of Proposition 5.2 when $\delta = \frac{n+1}{2} + \lambda$.

Proof of Proposition 5.2 By Theorem 3.1, it suffices to check that Kh is a $(p, s, \alpha, \varepsilon)$-molecular for every $(p, s, \alpha)-$block h of restrict II-type centered at any point x_0 and $\Re(Kh) \leq C$ with C independent of h.

Since $n(\frac{p}{s} - 1) \leq \alpha$ and $\frac{n+\alpha}{p} < \delta$, we have $0 < \frac{\delta}{n} - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{np} \leq \frac{\delta}{n} - \frac{1}{s}$. Then, we can choose A_0 and ε such that

$$0 < \varepsilon < A_0 = \frac{\delta}{n} - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{np} \leq \frac{\delta}{n} - \frac{1}{s}.$$

Set $a = A_0 - \varepsilon$ and $b = \frac{\delta}{n} - \frac{1}{s} - \varepsilon$. We see that $a > 0, b > 0$ and $b - a = -\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{np} \geq 0$ since $n(\frac{p}{s} - 1) \leq \alpha$. Given a $(p, s, \alpha)-$block h of restrict II-type with supp $a \subset B(x_0, r) \subset B_{k_0}(x_0)$, $2^{k_0 - 1} < r \leq 2^{k_0}$, and $k_0 \leq 0$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Kh(x)|x-x_0|^{nb}\|_{L^s}^s &= \left(\int_{|x-x_0|<2^{k_0+1}} + \int_{|x-x_0|\geq 2^{k_0+1}} \right) |Kh(x)|^s |x-x_0|^{snb} dx \\ &= J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned}$$

For J_1, by the L^s-boundedness of K, we have

$$J_1 \leq C 2^{k_0 nsb} \|h\|_{L^s}^s \leq C 2^{k_0 nsb} 2^{k_0 ns(1/s - 1/p - \alpha/np)}.$$

For J_2, by (5.4), noticing that $(nb - \delta)s + n = -n\varepsilon < 0, \delta \leq n$ and $k_0 \leq 0$, and using Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= \int_{|x-x_0|\geq 2^{k_0+1}} |Kh(x)|^s |x-x_0|^{nbs} dx \\ &\leq C \int_{|x-x_0|\geq 2^{k_0+1}} \|h\|_{L^1}^s |x-x_0|^{nbs - \delta s} dx \\ &= C 2^{k_0((nb-n)s+n)} 2^{k_0(n-\delta)s} \|h\|_{L^1}^s \\ &\leq C 2^{k_0 ns(b-1+1/s)} \|h\|_{L^s}^s |B_{k_0}|^{s/s'} \\ &\leq C 2^{k_0 nsb} 2^{k_0 ns(1/s - 1/p - \alpha/np)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|Kh(x)|x-x_0|^{nb}\|_{L^s} \leq C 2^{k_0 nb} 2^{k_0 n(1/s - 1/p - \alpha/np)}.$$

And by the L^s-boundedness of K, we have

$$\|Kh\|_{L^s} \leq C\|h\|_{L^s} \leq C2^{k_0 n(1/s-1/p-\alpha/np)}.$$

Then, noticing $a/b > 0$ and $1 - a/b \geq 0$, $b - a + \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{np} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(Kh) &\leq C2^{k_0 n(1/s-1/p-\alpha/np)a/b} 2^{k_0 n(b+1/s-1/p-\alpha/np)(1-a/b)} \\ &= C2^{k_0 n(b-a+1/s-1/p-\alpha/np)} = C, \end{aligned}$$

since $k_0 \leq 0$. Thus, we finish the proof of Proposition 5.2.

Step 2.

We consider the case of (p, s, α)-block of restrict I-type.

Because $B_1^\lambda = f * K_1^\lambda$, we can decompose B_1^λ into two parts as $B_1^\lambda = f * (\chi_{B_{2\pi}} K_1^\lambda) + f * (\chi_{B_{2\pi}^c} K_1^\lambda)$, where $B_{2\pi} = \{x : |x| \leq 2\pi\}$. It is easy to see that the first part can be controlled by Hardy-Littlewood maximal function Mf since K_1^λ is bounded. For the second part, we can use the asymptotic expansion of K_1^λ

$$K_1^\lambda(x) \sim |x|^{-(n+1)/2-\lambda} \left[e^{2\pi i|x|} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j |x|^{-j} + e^{-2\pi i|x|} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_j |x|^{-j} \right]$$

as $|x| \to \infty$, for suitable constants α_j and β_j, and express it as a finite sum as follows, as Stein did in [59]. First there are finitely many terms, given by some constant multiples of

$$T^{j\pm} f(x) = \int_{|y|\geq 2\pi} e^{\pm 2\pi i|y|} f(x-y)|y|^{-(n+1)/2-\lambda-j} dy$$

with $j \geq 0$. Next, there is an error term, corresponding to convolution with a kernel belonging to L^1. In fact, the error term can be controlled by Mf, and this can be seen more clearly in the following Lemma 5.1 (see [42]), and in [17] for $\lambda > \frac{n-3}{2}$.

Lemma 5.1([42]) For every $k \geq -\frac{1}{2}$, there are real constants $\{c_{k,j}\}_{j=0}^\infty$ and c_k such that, for every $N \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, the following holds:

$$J_k(x) = R_{k,N}\left(\frac{1}{x^{2N+5/2}}\right) + \sum_{j=0}^{N} \left(\frac{c_{k,2j}}{x^{2j+1/2}} \cos(x - c_k) - \frac{c_{k,2j+1}}{x^{2j+3/2}} \sin(x - c_k) \right)$$

where $R_{k,N}$ is controlled by

$$\left| R_{k,N}\left(\frac{1}{x^{2N+5/2}}\right) \right| \leq \frac{C_{k,N}}{x^{2N+5/2}}$$

for every $x \geq 2\pi$ and some constants $C_{k,N}$.

Thus, we need only to take N_0 in Lemma 5.1 such that $(n+1)/2 + \lambda + 2N_0 + 5/2 > n$. Then we can write

$$B_1^\lambda f = Hf + Tf \tag{5.6}$$

where Hf is controlled by Hardy-Littlewood maximal function Mf, and

$$Tf = \sum_{j=0}^{N_0} [(c^+_{2j+\frac{1}{2}} T^{(2j+\frac{1}{2})+} f + c^-_{2j+\frac{1}{2}} T^{(2j+\frac{1}{2})-} f) + (c^+_{2j+\frac{3}{2}} T^{(2j+\frac{3}{2})+} f + c^-_{2j+\frac{3}{2}} T^{(2j+\frac{3}{2})-} f)]$$

for some constants $c_j^{\pm}$, where

$$T^{(2j+\frac{1}{2})\pm}f(x) = \int_{|y|\geq 2\pi} e^{\pm 2\pi i|y|} f(x-y)|y|^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-\lambda-(2j+\frac{1}{2})}dy$$

$j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, N_0 . T^{(2j+\frac{3}{2})\pm}f$ are similar.

Proposition 5.3 Let $\frac{n-1}{2(n+1)} < \lambda \leq \frac{n-1}{2}, p'_\lambda < s < p_\lambda, 0 < p \leq s$ and $n(\frac{p}{s}-1) \leq \alpha < n(\frac{p}{p'_\lambda}-1)$. Then, (5.2) holds for all (p, s, α)-blocks h of restrict I-type.

Proof We prove (5.2) by Theorem 3.1.

It is easy to see that $T^{(2j+\frac{1}{2})\pm}f(x)$ is controlled pointwise by Hardy-Littlewood maximal function Mf when $\frac{n+1}{2}+\lambda+2j+\frac{1}{2} > n$, and $T^{(2j+\frac{3}{2})\pm}f(x)$ is controlled by Mf when $\frac{n+1}{2}+\lambda+2j+\frac{3}{2} > n$. It is clear that we need only to consider

$$T^{0+}f(x) = \int_{|y|\geq 2\pi} e^{2\pi i|y|} f(x-y)|y|^{-(n+1)/2-\lambda}dy.$$

In fact, once we have proved that

$$\|T^{0+}h\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C \tag{5.7}$$

for all (p, s, α)-blocks h of restrict I-type, under conditions of Proposition 5.3, then, from this and Theorem 4.4, it is easy to see that $T^{(2j+\frac{1}{2})\pm}$ and $T^{(2j+\frac{3}{2})\pm}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, N_0$, also satisfy (5.7). Then, T follows.

Let us prove (5.7). By Theorem 3.1, it suffices to check that $T^{0+}h$ is a $(p, s, \alpha, \varepsilon)$-molecular for every $(p, s, \alpha)-$block h of restrict I-type centered at origin $x_0 = 0$ and $\Re(T^{0+}h) \leq C$ with C independent of h.

Since $n(\frac{p}{s}-1) \leq \alpha < n(\frac{p}{p'_\lambda}-1)$, we have

$$\frac{n+1}{2n} + \frac{\lambda}{n} - \frac{1}{s} \geq \frac{n+1}{2n} + \frac{\lambda}{n} - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{np} > 0.$$

Then, we can choose A_0 and ε such that

$$0 < \varepsilon < \frac{n+1}{2n} + \frac{\lambda}{n} - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{np} \leq \frac{n+1}{2n} + \frac{\lambda}{n} - \frac{1}{s}.$$

Set $a = \frac{n+1}{2n} + \frac{\lambda}{n} - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{np} - \varepsilon$ and $b = \frac{n+1}{2n} + \frac{\lambda}{n} - \frac{1}{s} - \varepsilon$. We see that $a > 0, b > 0$ and $b - a = -\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{np} \geq 0$.

As in [59], we write

$$|y|^{-(n+1)/2-\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-[(n+1)/2+\lambda]k}\psi(y/2^k), \qquad |y| \geq 1$$

where ψ is a smooth function, supported in $1/2 \leq |y| \leq 2$. Then

$$T^{0+} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_k \tag{5.8}$$

with $T_k f(x) = 2^{-[(n+1)/2+\lambda]k} \int e^{2\pi i|y|} f(x-y)\psi(y/2^k)dy$.

Given a $(p,s,\alpha)-$block h with supp $h \subset B(0,r) \subset B_{k_0}(0)$, $2^{k_0-1} < r \le 2^{k_0}$ and $k_0 > 0$, by (5.8) and Minkowski inequality, we have

$$\|T^{0+}h(x)|x|^{nb}\|_{L^s} \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|T_k h(x)|x|^{nb}\|_{L^s}.$$

Let us estimate $\|T_k h(x)|x|^{nb}\|_{L^s}$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_k h(x)|x|^{nb}\|_{L^s}^s &\le \left(\int_{|x|<2^{k_0+1}} + \int_{|x|\ge 2^{k_0+1}}\right) |T_k h(x)|^s |x|^{snb} dx \\ &= J_1^k + J_2^k.\end{aligned}$$

For J_1^k, by (34) in ([59], p394), we have

$$\begin{aligned}J_1^k &= \int_{|x|<2^{k_0+1}} |T_k h(x)|^s |x|^{nbs} dx \\ &\le C2^{k_0 nsb} \|T_k h\|_{L^s}^s \\ &\le C2^{k_0 nsb} 2^{-[(n+1)/2+\lambda]ks+kn} \|h\|_{L^s}^s.\end{aligned}$$

Noticing that $-\frac{n+1}{2} - \lambda + \frac{n}{s} < 0$ (since $p'_\lambda < s$), we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (J_1^k)^{1/s} \le C2^{k_0 nb} \|h\|_{L^s}$$

for $k_0 = 1, 2, \cdots$.

For J_2^k, let $x \in \{x : |x| \ge 2^{k_0+1}\}, y \in \text{supp}\psi(\cdot/2^k) = \{y : 2^{k-1} < |y| \le 2^{k+1}\}$ and $x - y \in B_{k_0} = \{x - y : |x-y| \le 2^{k_0}\}$, then $2^{k_0+1} \le |x| \le |y| + |x-y| \le 2^{k_0} + 2^{k+1}$ and $k_0 \le k+1$. Thus, noticing that $T_k h(x) = 2^{-[(n+1)/2+\lambda]k} \int e^{2\pi i|y|} h(x-y)\psi(y/2^k)dy$, we have $J_2^k = 0$ when $k+1 < k_0$, while when $k+1 \ge k_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}J_2^k &= \int_{|x|\ge 2^{k_0+1}} |T_k h(x)|^s |x|^{nbs} dx \\ &\le C(2 \times 2^{k+1})^{nsb} \int_{|x|\ge 2^{k_0+1}} |T_k h(x)|^s dx \\ &\le C2^{knsb} 2^{-[(n+1)/2+\lambda]ks+kn} \|h\|_{L^s}^s \\ &= C2^{ks(nb-(n+1)/2-\lambda+n/s)} \|h\|_{L^s}^s,\end{aligned}$$

since (34) in ([59], p394). Noticing that $nb - \frac{n+1}{2} - \lambda + \frac{n}{s} = -n\varepsilon < 0$ and $-\frac{n+1}{2} - \lambda + \frac{n}{s} < 0$, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (J_2^k)^{1/s} = \sum_{k+1\ge k_0} (J_2^k)^{1/s} \le C2^{k_0(nb-(n+1)/2-\lambda+n/s)} \|h\|_{L^s} \le C2^{k_0 nb} \|h\|_{L^s}$$

for $k_0 > 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\|T^{0+}h(x)|x|^{nb}\|_{L^s} &\le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [(J_1^k)^{1/s} + (J_2^k)^{1/s}] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (J_1^k)^{1/s} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (J_2^k)^{1/s} \le C2^{k_0 nb} 2^{k_0 n(1/s-1/p-\alpha/np)}.\end{aligned}$$

And by the L^s boundedness of T^{0+}, see [59], we have

$$\|T^{0+}h(\|_{L^s} \le C\|h\|_{L^s} \le C2^{k_0 n(1/s-1/p-\alpha/np)}.$$

Then, noticing $a/b > 0$, $1 - a/b > 0$ and $b - a + \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{np} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(T^{0+}h) &\le C2^{k_0 n(1/s-1/p-\alpha/np)a/b} 2^{k_0 n(b+1/s-1/p-\alpha/np)(1-a/b)} \\ &= C2^{k_0 n(b-a+1/s-1/p-\alpha/np)} = C, \end{aligned}$$

where $k_0 > 0$. Thus, (5.7) holds, and it follows that (5.2) holds for all (p, s, α)-blocks h of restrict I-type.

For (p, s, α)-blocks, by (5.6) and Theorem 4.4, we see that for T in (5.6), the same conclusions hold as those stated in Proposition 5.1. Then, by Proposition 5.3, we have

Proposition 5.4 Let λ, s, p and α under the conditions of Theorem 5.1. Then (5.2) holds for all (p, s, α)-blocks h.

Step 3.

We prove (5.3). From (5.3) the conclusion required can be proved easily.

Proposition 5.5 Let λ, s, p, α under the conditions of Theorem 5.1, T as in (5.6). Then

$$T \text{ is of type } (BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}).$$

Proof We need to prove (5.3). For $f \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and any $0 < \varepsilon \le \|f\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}}$, there exists a decomposition of f: $f = \sum_j \mu_j a_j$ such that $(\sum_j |\mu_j|^{\bar{p}})^{1/\bar{p}} \le \|f\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} + \varepsilon$, where all a_j are (p, s, α)-blocks. Once (5.3) is proved, then, by Proposition 5.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} &\le \sum_j |\mu_j| \|Ta_j\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \le C\sum_j |\mu_j| \\ &\le C\left(\sum_j |\mu_j|^{\bar{p}}\right)^{1/\bar{p}} \le C\|f\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} + \varepsilon \le C\|f\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, let us prove (5.3). It is clear that we need only to prove (5.3) for $T^{\frac{1}{2}+}$ since the cases for $T^{(2j+\frac{1}{2})\pm}$ and $T^{(2j+\frac{3}{2})\pm}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, N_0$, are similar. As (5.8), we can write

$$T^{\frac{1}{2}+} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_k^{\frac{1}{2}+} \tag{5.9}$$

with $T_k^{\frac{1}{2}+} f(x) = 2^{-[(n+1)/2+\lambda+1/2]k} \int e^{2\pi i|y|} f(x-y)\psi(y/2^k)dy$.

Let us first consider $T_k^{\frac{1}{2}+}$. Fix k and $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Let $f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu_j a_j$ where each a_j is a (p, s, α)-block with supp $a_j \subset B_j$ and $\|a_j\|_{L^s} \le |B_j|^{-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\alpha}{np}+\frac{1}{s}}$, and $(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\mu_j|^{\bar{p}})^{1/\bar{p}} < \infty$.

When a_j is a (p, s, α)-block of restrict II-type, by Hölder inequality, we have

$$\int |a_j(x-y)||\psi(y/2^k)|dy \le \|\psi\|_{L^\infty} \|a_j\|_{L^1} \le \|\psi\|_{L^\infty} |B_j|^{1-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\alpha}{np}} \le \|\psi\|_{L^\infty},$$

since $|B_j| \le 1$ and $1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{np} > 0$.

When a_j is a (p, s, α)-block of restrict I-type, by Hölder inequality, we have

$$\int |a_j(x-y)||\psi(y/2^k)|dy \le \|2^{nk/s'}\psi\|_{L^{s'}}\|a_j\|_{L^s} \le 2^{nk/s'}\|\psi\|_{L^{s'}}|B_j|^{\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\alpha}{np}} \le 2^{nk/s'}\|\psi\|_{L^{s'}},$$

since $|B_j| > 1$ and $\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{np} \le 0$.

Let $F(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\mu_j||a_j(x-y)||\psi(y/2^k)|$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, by Minkowski inequality and the two inequalities above, we have

$$\|F\|_{L^1} \le (\|\psi\|_{L^\infty} + 2^{nk/s'}\|\psi\|_{L^{s'}})\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\mu_j| \le (\|\psi\|_{L^\infty} + 2^{nk/s'}\|\psi\|_{L^{s'}})(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\mu_j|^{\bar{p}})^{1/\bar{p}} < \infty.$$

Let $F_N(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_j e^{2\pi i|y|}a_j(x-y)\psi(y/2^k)$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. It is clear that $|F_N(y)| \le |F(y)|$. Then the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem gives that $\int \lim_{N\to\infty} F_N(y)dy = \lim_{N\to\infty}\int F_N(y)dy$, and it follows that

$$T_k^{\frac{1}{2}+}f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\mu_j T_k^{\frac{1}{2}+}a_j(x). \tag{5.10}$$

We see also that

$$|T_k^{\frac{1}{2}+}a(x)| \le 2^{-[\frac{n+1}{2}+\lambda+\frac{1}{2}]k}(\|\psi\|_{L^\infty} + 2^{\frac{nk}{s'}}\|\psi\|_{L^{s'}}) \tag{5.11}$$

for all (p, s, α)-blocks with $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \le s$ and $n(\frac{p}{s}-1) \le \alpha < n(p-1)$. Noticing (5.10) and (5.9), we have

$$T^{\frac{1}{2}+}f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\mu_j T_k^{\frac{1}{2}+}a_j = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\mu_j T_k^{\frac{1}{2}+}a_j = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\mu_j\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}T_k^{\frac{1}{2}+}a_j = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\mu_j T^{\frac{1}{2}+}a_j,$$

the second "=" above holds since

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\mu_j||T_k^{\frac{1}{2}+}a_j| &\le (\|\psi\|_{L^\infty} + \|\psi\|_{L^{s'}})\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}2^{-[\frac{n+1}{2}+\lambda+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n}{s'}]k}|\mu_j| \\ &\le (\|\psi\|_{L^\infty} + \|\psi\|_{L^{s'}})(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}2^{-[\frac{n+1}{2}+\lambda+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n}{s'}]k})(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\mu_j|^{\bar{p}})^{1/\bar{p}} < \infty,\end{aligned}$$

these inequalities hold because of (5.11) and $(n+1)/2 + \lambda + 1/2 - n/s' > (n+1)/2 + \lambda - n/s' > 0$ which follows from $s < p_\lambda$. Thus, we have proved that T is of type $(BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha})$. Thus, we finish the proof of Proposition 5.5.

The proof of Theorem 5.1 has been finished.

Proof of Corollary 5.1 Let $n(\frac{p}{p_\lambda} - 1) < \alpha < n(\frac{p}{p'_\lambda} - 1)$, then there exists s such that $p'_\lambda < s < p_\lambda$ and $n(\frac{p}{p_\lambda} - 1) < n(\frac{p}{s} - 1) < \alpha < n(\frac{p}{p'_\lambda} - 1)$. Then, by Theorem 5.2, we have that B_R^λ is of type $(BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha})$. By Theorem 2.5, we know $BL^{p,p_\lambda}_{|x|^\alpha} \subset BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ for p, s, p_λ and α. So, B_R^λ is of type $(BL^{p,p_\lambda}_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha})$.

Proof of Theorem 5.2 Since

$$B_R^\lambda f(x) = \int_{|\xi|<R}\hat{f}(\xi)\left(1 - |\xi/R|^2\right)^\lambda e^{2\pi i x\cdot\xi}d\xi,$$

and let $g(x) = f(x/R)$, we have

$$B_R^\lambda f(x) = \int_{|\eta|<1} \hat{g}(\eta)\left(1-|\eta|^2\right)^\lambda e^{2\pi i R x\cdot\eta} d\eta.$$

Let $f \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and $f \neq 0$, then there exists a decomposition of $f(x)$: $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i a_i(x)$ with supp $a_i \subseteq B_i$, $\|a_i\|_{L^s} \le |B_i|^{-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\alpha}{pn}+\frac{1}{s}}$ and $(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\mu_j|^{\bar{p}})^{1/\bar{p}} \le 2\|f\|^p_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}}$. Then $g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i a_i(x/R) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i b_i(x)$ with supp $b_i =$ supp $a_i(\cdot/R) \subseteq RB_i$ and $\|b_i\|_{L^s} \le R^{\frac{n+\alpha}{p}}|RB_i|^{-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\alpha}{pn}+\frac{1}{s}}$, and this shows that each $R^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{p}} b_i$ is a (p,s,α)-block. So we have

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i R^{\frac{n+\alpha}{p}} R^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{p}} b_i(x),$$

and

$$\|g\|^p_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty \left(|\mu_i| R^{\frac{n+\alpha}{p}}\right)^{\bar{p}}\right)^{1/\bar{p}} \le 2R^{\frac{n+\alpha}{p}}\|f\|^p_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}}.$$

By Theorems 5.1 and 2.1, we see that

$$B_1^\lambda \text{ is of type } (BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha})$$

for $p'_\lambda < s < p_\lambda, 0 < p < s, n(\frac{p}{s}-1) < \alpha < n(\frac{p}{p'_\lambda}-1)$ and $\alpha \le 0$. Using these, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |B_R^\lambda f(x)|^p |x|^\alpha dx &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\left|\int_{|\eta|<1}\hat{g}(\eta)\left(1-|\eta|^2\right)^\lambda e^{2\pi i R x\cdot\eta}d\eta\right|^p |x|^\alpha dx \\
&= \frac{1}{R^{\alpha+n}}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\left|\int_{|\eta|<1}\hat{g}(\eta)\left(1-|\eta|^2\right)^\lambda e^{2\pi i x\cdot\eta}d\eta\right|^p |x|^\alpha dx \\
&\le \frac{C}{R^{\alpha+n}}\|g\|^p_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \le C\|f\|^p_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we finish the proof of Theorem 5.3.

In particular, taking $\lambda = \frac{n-1}{2}$ in Theorem 5.1, we have

Corollary 5.2 Let $0 < s < \infty, 0 < p \le s$ and $n(\frac{p}{s}-1) \le \alpha < n(p-1)$. Then

$$B_1^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \text{ is of type } (BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}).$$

When $p > 1$, taking $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 5.2, we have

Corollary 5.3 (i) Let $\frac{n-1}{2(n+1)} < \lambda \le \frac{n-1}{2}, p'_\lambda < s < p_\lambda, 1 < p < s$ and $\frac{n}{p} < \frac{1}{p'_\lambda}$. Then

$$B_1^\lambda \text{ is of type } (BL^{p,s}_{|x|^0}, L^p).$$

When $p > 1$ and taking $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 5.3, we have

Corollary 5.4 Let $0 < R < \infty$. For B_R^λ, the same conclusions hold as those stated in Corollary 5.3.

Remark 5.2 Since the conditions $p'_\lambda < s$ and $n(\frac{p}{s}-1) < \alpha$ in theorems above imply that $\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\alpha}{np} \le 0 < \frac{1}{p'_\lambda}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\alpha}{np}$, those theorems above maybe fail when $s = p'_\lambda$.

Proof of Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 5.4 It is easy to see that Theorem 5.3 follows from the following two propositions, and Theorem 5.4 follows from Theorems 5.3 and 2.1.

Proposition 5.6 Let $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \le s$ and $-n(1-p/s) \le \alpha < n(p-1)$. We have

$$\|B_*^{\frac{n-1}{2}} h\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \le C \tag{5.12}$$

for every $(p,s,\alpha)-$block h, where the constant C is independent of h.

Proof Let $0 < R < \infty$ and $0 < \lambda < \infty$. We know that $B_R^\lambda f(x) = (f * K_R^\lambda)(x)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} K_R^\lambda(x) &= [(1-|\xi/R|^2)_+^\lambda]^\vee(x) \\ &= \int_{|\xi|<R} \left(1-|\xi/R|^2\right)^\lambda e^{2\pi i x\cdot\xi} d\xi \\ &= R^n K_1^\lambda(Rx) \\ &= R^n |Rx|^{-\frac{n}{2}-\lambda} J_{\frac{n}{2}+\lambda}(2\pi|Rx|). \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Here $J_{\frac{n}{2}+\lambda}$ is the Bessel function, and it has the asymptotic properties as follows:

$$J_m(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\, t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cos(t - \frac{m\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}) + O(t^{-\frac{3}{2}}), \qquad \text{as } t \to \infty, \tag{5.14}$$

$$J_m(t) = \frac{t^m}{2^m \Gamma(m+1)} + O(t^{m+1}), \qquad \text{as } t \to 0, \tag{5.15}$$

where $m > -\frac{1}{2}$, see [59]. From (5.13) and (5.15), we see that the radial function $K_R^\lambda(x)$ is right-continues at $|x| = 0$ for fixed $0 < R < \infty$. From (5.13) and (5.14), we see that

$$K_R^\lambda(x) = R^n O(|Rx|^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-\lambda}) \qquad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

Such two facts imply that

$$|K_R^\lambda(x)| \le C R^n (1+|Rx|)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-\lambda}, \quad 0 < |x| < \infty, \tag{5.16}$$

where C is independent of $|Rx|$. (5.16) shows that

$$|K_R^{\frac{n-1}{2}}(x)| \le C|x|)^{-n}, \quad 0 < |x| < \infty, 0 < R < \infty,$$

and therefore

$$|B_*^{\frac{n-1}{2}} f(x)| \le C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^n} dy.$$

As before (i.e. as (5.5)), it is easy to check that $B_*^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ satisfies (1.14). And it is known that $B_*^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ is bounded on L^s with $1 < s < \infty$, see [66]. Then, by Theorem 4.1, (5.12) follows.

Proposition 5.7 Let $0 < \lambda < \infty, 0 < R < \infty, 1 < s < p_\lambda, 0 < p \le s$ and $-n(1-p/s) \le \alpha < n(p-1)$. We have

$$B_R^\lambda f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \mu_j B_R^\lambda a_j(x), \tag{5.17}$$

and

$$B_*^\lambda f(x) \leq \sum_{j=0}^\infty |\mu_j| B_*^\lambda a_j(x) \tag{5.18}$$

for $f = \sum \lambda_j a_j \in \dot{B}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, where each a_j is a $(p,s,\alpha)-$block and $\sum |\lambda_j|^{\bar{p}} < \infty$.

Proof Fix $0 < R < \infty$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Let $f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu_j a_j$ where each a_j is a (p,s,α)-block with supp $a_j \subset B_j$ and $\|a_j\|_{L^s} \leq |B_j|^{-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\alpha}{np}+\frac{1}{s}}$, and $(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\mu_j|^{\bar{p}})^{1/\bar{p}} < \infty$.

When a_j is a (p,s,α)-block of restrict II-type, by (5.16) and Hölder inequality, we have

$$\int |a_j(x-y)||K_R^\lambda(y)|dy \leq CR^n \|a_j\|_{L^1} \leq CR^n |B_j|^{1-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\alpha}{np}} \leq CR^n \tag{5.19}$$

since $|B_j| \leq 1, 1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{np} > 0$ and $\frac{n+1}{2} + \lambda > 0$.

When a_j is a (p,s,α)-block of restrict I-type, by Hölder inequality, we have

$$\int |a_j(x-y)||K_R^\lambda(y)|dy \leq \|K_R^\lambda\|_{L^{s'}} \|a_j\|_{L^s} \leq \|K_R^\lambda\|_{L^{s'}} |B_j|^{\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\alpha}{np}} \leq \|K_R^\lambda\|_{L^{s'}} \tag{5.20}$$

since $|B_j| > 1$ and $\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{np} \leq 0$. By (5.16), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_R^\lambda\|_{L^{s'}}^{s'} &\leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{R^{ns'} dx}{(1+|Rx|)^{(\frac{n+1}{2}+\lambda)s'}} \\ &= CR^{n(s'-1)} \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{n-1} dr}{(1+r)^{(\frac{n+1}{2}+\lambda)s'}} \\ &\leq CR^{n(s'-1)} \int_0^\infty (1+r)^{n-1-(\frac{n+1}{2}+\lambda)s'} dr \\ &\leq CR^{n(s'-1)} \end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

as $n - (\frac{n+1}{2} + \lambda)s' < 0$, i.e. $s < p_\lambda$.

Let $F(y) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\mu_j||a_j(x-y)||K_R^\lambda(y)|$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, by Minkowski inequality and (5.19) $\sim$ (5.21), we have

$$\|F\|_{L^1} \leq C(R^n + R^{n(s'-1)}) \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\mu_j| \leq C(R^n + R^{n(s'-1)}) (\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\mu_j|^{\bar{p}})^{1/\bar{p}} < \infty.$$

Let $F_N(y) = \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_j a_j(x-y) K_R^\lambda(y)$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. It is clear that $|F_N(y)| \leq |F(y)|$. Then the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem gives (5.17).

And it follows that

$$|B_R^\lambda f(x)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^\infty |\mu_j| B_*^\lambda a_j(x)$$

for $0 < R < \infty$. Thus we have (5.18).

Proof of Theorem 5.5 and Theorem 5.6 When $\lambda > \frac{n-1}{2}$, we know that $|B_*^\lambda f(x)| \leq CMf(x)$ for all $f \in L^1_{loc}$ and an absolutely constant C (see, for example, [57]). Then Theorems 5.5 and 5.6 follow from Theorem 4.4.

Proof of Theorem 5.7 Let $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j a_j$ where each a_j is a (p,s,α)-block and $(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\mu_j|^{\bar{p}})^{1/\bar{p}} < \infty$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $N_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(\sum_{j=N_\varepsilon+1}^{\infty} |\mu_j|^{\bar{p}})^{1/\bar{p}} < \varepsilon$. By (5.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|B_R^\lambda f - f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \\
= \ & \|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j B_R^\lambda a_j - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j a_j\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \\
\leq \ & \sum_{j=1}^{N_\varepsilon} |\mu_j| \|B_R^\lambda a_j - a_j\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} + \sum_{j=N_\varepsilon+1}^{\infty} |\mu_j| \|B_R^\lambda a_j\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} + \sum_{j=N_\varepsilon+1}^{\infty} |\mu_j| \|a_j\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \\
= \ & I + II + III.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 5.2 we have $\|B_R^\lambda a_j\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq \|a_j\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C$, and by Theorem 2.1 we have $\|a_j\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq \|a_j\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C$. Then,

$$II + III \leq C \sum_{j=N_\varepsilon+1}^{\infty} |\mu_j| \leq C \left(\sum_{j=N_\varepsilon+1}^{\infty} |\mu_j|^{\bar{p}} \right)^{1/\bar{p}} < C\varepsilon, \tag{5.22}$$

where C is an absolutely constant. For I, since $\|B_R^\lambda a_j\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C < \infty$ and $\|a_j\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C < \infty$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, N_\varepsilon$, there exists a ball B_ε such that

$$\int_{B_\varepsilon^c} |B_R^\lambda a_j(x)|^p |x|^\alpha dx < N_\varepsilon^{-p} \varepsilon^p \ \text{ and } \ \int_{B_\varepsilon^c} |a_j(x)|^p |x|^\alpha dx < N_\varepsilon^{-p} \varepsilon^p \tag{5.23}$$

for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, N_\varepsilon$. It is known that $\|B_R^\lambda a_j - a_j\|_{L^s} \to 0$ as $R \to \infty$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, N_\varepsilon$, then for the $N_\varepsilon^{-1} |B_\varepsilon|^{-(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{s} - \frac{\alpha}{np})} \varepsilon$, there exists an R_0 such that $\|B_R^\lambda a_j - a_j\|_{L^s} < N_\varepsilon^{-1} |B_\varepsilon|^{-(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{s} - \frac{\alpha}{np})} \varepsilon$ for all $R > R_0$ and $j = 1, 2, \cdots, N_\varepsilon$. Then, by Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_\varepsilon} |B_R^\lambda a_j(x) - a_j(x)|^p |x|^\alpha dx &\leq \left(\int_{B_\varepsilon} |B_R^\lambda a_j(x) - a_j(x)|^s dx \right)^{p/s} \left(\int_{B_\varepsilon} |x|^{\alpha s/(s-p)} dx \right)^{(s-p)/s} \\
&\leq C |B_\varepsilon|^{1 - \frac{p}{s} - \frac{\alpha}{n}} \|B_R^\lambda a_j - a_j\|_{L^s}^p < C N_\varepsilon^{-p} \varepsilon^p
\end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

since $\alpha s/(s-p) + n \leq 0$, where C is an absolutely constant. Thus, (5.23) and (5.24) give that $\int |B_R^\lambda a_j(x) - a_j(x)|^p |x|^\alpha dx < C N_\varepsilon^{-p} \varepsilon^p$, and it follows that

$$I < C\varepsilon \tag{5.25}$$

for all $R > R_0$. Then, by (5.22) and (5.25), we have that $\|B_R^\lambda f - f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} < C\varepsilon$ for all $R > R_0$. Thus, we finish the proof of Theorem 5.7.

6. Spherical means

We prove the following

Theorem 6.1 Let $n \geq 3, 0 < p \leq 2$ and $n(\frac{1}{2}p - 1) \leq \alpha < n(\frac{n-1}{n}p - 1)$. Then (1.10) holds.

From Theorems 2.1 and 6.1, we have

Theorem 6.2 Let $n \geq 3, 0 < p < 2, n(\frac{1}{2}p - 1) < \alpha < n(\frac{n-1}{n}p - 1)$ and $\alpha \leq 0$. Then (1.11) holds.

In particularly, we have

Corollary 6.1 Let $n \geq 3, 0 < p \leq \frac{n}{n-1}$ and $n(\frac{1}{2}p - 1) < \alpha < n(\frac{n-1}{n}p - 1)$. Then

$$\mathcal{M} \text{ is of type } (BL^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha}).$$

Theorem 6.3 Under the hypothesis of Theorem 6.2, we have

$$\|A_t f - f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \to 0, \quad t \to 0$$

for $f \in BL^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha}$.

As $B^\lambda_R f$, we can also get that $A_t f$ converges to f, μ_α-a.e., as t tends to 0 by Theorem 6.1 for $f \in \dot{B}L^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha}$ with p and α under the conditions of Theorem 6.1, but this does not imply new information since $BL^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha} \subset L^{\frac{np}{n+\alpha}}$, and $\frac{np}{n+\alpha} > \frac{n}{n-1}$.

We will use the following known facts in the proof of Theorem 6.1. Let $\mathcal{M}(f)$ be the the spherical maximal function defined in section 1, we have then

$$\mathcal{M}(f) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_j(f), \tag{6.1}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_j(f) \leq C(n) 2^j M(f), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \tag{6.2}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{M}_j(f)\|_{L^2} \leq C(n) 2^{(1-n/2)j} \|f\|_{L^2}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \tag{6.3}$$

for all function f in $L^2 = L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Here $M(f)$ is Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. See [26].

Proof of Theorem 6.1 By Theorem 3.1, it suffices to show that

$$\|\mathcal{M}h\|_{BL^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C \tag{6.4}$$

for any $(p, 2, \alpha)-$block h, where constant C is independent of h.

In fact, let $f \in BL^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha}$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $f = \sum \lambda_i h_i$ where each h_i is a $(p, 2, \alpha)-$block such that

$$\left(\sum |\lambda_i|^{\bar{p}}\right)^{1/\bar{p}} \leq \|f\|_{BL^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha}} + \varepsilon.$$

By Minkowski inequality, $|A_t f(x)| \leq \sum |\lambda_i| A_t(|h_i|)(x) \leq \sum |\lambda_i| \mathcal{M}(|h_i|)(x)$, and it follows that

$$\mathcal{M}f(x)| \leq \sum |\lambda_i| \mathcal{M}(|h_i|)(x).$$

Then, once (6.4) is established, by the subadditivity of the norm, we have

$$\|\mathcal{M}f\|_{BL^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha}} \le \sum |\lambda_i| \|\mathcal{M}h_i\|_{BL^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha}} \le C\sum |\lambda_i| \le C\left(\sum |\lambda_i|^{\bar{p}}\right)^{1/\bar{p}} \le C\|f\|_{BL^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha}} + \varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, we have $\|\mathcal{M}f\|_{BL^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha}} \le C\|f\|_{BL^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha}}$.

In order to obtain (6.4), Let us estimate $\mathcal{M}_j h, j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.

We check that $\mathcal{M}_j h$ is a $(p, 2, \alpha, \varepsilon)$-molecular for every $(p, 2, \alpha)-$block h centered at any point and estimate $\Re(\mathcal{M}_j h)$.

By $\alpha < n(\frac{n-1}{n}p - 1)$, we have that

$$\frac{n-1}{n-2} - \frac{n}{n-2}\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{np}\right) > 0. \tag{6.5}$$

By (6.5) and noticing that $1 - 1/p - \alpha/np > 0$, we can choose ε such that

$$0 < \varepsilon < \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, 1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{np}, \frac{n-1}{n-2} - \frac{n}{n-2}\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{np}\right)\right\}. \tag{6.6}$$

Set $a = 1 - 1/p - \alpha/np - \varepsilon$ and $b = 1/2 - \varepsilon$. We see that $a > 0, b > 0$ from (6.6), $b - a = -(1/2 - 1/p - \alpha/np) \ge 0$ from $n(p/2 - 1) \le \alpha$, and

$$na - 2b = n - 1 - n(1/p + \alpha/np) - (n-2)\varepsilon > 0 \tag{6.7}$$

from (6.6).

Given a $(p, 2, \alpha)-$block h with supp $h \subset B(x_0, r) \subset B(x_0, 2^{k_0})$, and

$$\|h\|_{L^2} \le |B(x_0, r)|^{1/2-1/p-\alpha/np} \le C_{\alpha,p}|B(x_0, 2^{k_0})|^{1/2-1/p-\alpha/np},$$

where $2^{k_0-1} < r \le 2^{k_0}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{M}_j h(x)|x - x_0|^{nb}\|^2_{L^2} &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\mathcal{M}_j h(x)|^2 |x - x_0|^{2nb} dx \\
&\le \left(\int_{|x-x_0|<2^{k_0+1}} + \int_{|x-x_0|\ge 2^{k_0+1}}\right) |\mathcal{M}_j h(x)|^2 |x - x_0|^{2nb} dx \\
&= J_1 + J_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

For J_1, by (6.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= \int_{|x-x_0|<2^{k_0+1}} |\mathcal{M}_j h(x)|^2 |x - x_0|^{2nb} dx \\
&\le C 2^{2k_0 nb} \|\mathcal{M}_j h\|^2_{L^2} \\
&\le C 2^{2(1-n/2)j} 2^{2k_0 nb} \|h\|^2_{L^2} \\
&\le C 2^{2(1-n/2)j} 2^{2k_0 nb} 2^{2k_0 n(1/2-1/p-\alpha/np)}
\end{aligned}$$

For J_2, by (6.2), and noticing that Hardy-Littlewood maximal function M satisfies (1.14), and that $b - 1 + 1/2 = -\varepsilon < 0$, we have

$$J_2 = \int_{|x-x_0|\ge 2^{k_0+1}} |\mathcal{M}_j h(x)|^2 |x - x_0|^{2nb} dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C2^{2j}\int_{|x-x_0|\geq 2^{k_0+1}} |Mh(x)|^2|x-x_0|^{2nb}dx\\
&\leq C2^{2j}\int_{|x-x_0|\geq 2^{k_0+1}} \|h\|_{L^1}^2|x-x_0|^{2n(b-1)}dx\\
&\leq C2^{2j}2^{2k_0n(b-1+1/2)}\|h\|_{L^2}^2|B(x_0,2^{k_0})|\\
&\leq C2^{2j}2^{2k_0nb}2^{2k_0n(1/2-1/p-\alpha/np)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|\mathcal{M}_jh(x)|x-x_0|^{nb}\|_{L^2}\leq C2^j2^{k_0nb}2^{k_0n(1/s-1/p-\alpha/np)}.$$

And by (6.3),

$$\|\mathcal{M}_jh(x)\|_{L^2}\leq C2^{(1-n/2)j}\|h(x)\|_{L^2}\leq C2^{(1-n/2)j}2^{k_0n(1/2-1/p-\alpha/np)}.$$

Then, noticing $a/b>0$ and $1-a/b\geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Re_1(\mathcal{M}_jh) &\leq C2^{(1-\frac{n}{2})j\times\frac{a}{b}}2^{j(1-\frac{a}{b})}2^{k_0n(1/2-1/p-\alpha/np)a/b}2^{k_0n(b+1/2-1/p-\alpha/np)(1-a/b)}\\
&= C2^{-j\times\frac{na-2b}{2b}}2^{k_0n(b-a+1/2-1/p-\alpha/np)}=C2^{-j\times\frac{na-2b}{2b}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\|\mathcal{M}_jh\|_{BL^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha}}\leq C2^{-j\times\frac{na-2b}{2b}}.$$

By (6.1), it follows that

$$\|\mathcal{M}h\|_{BL^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha}}\leq\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\|\mathcal{M}_jh\|_{BL^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha}}\leq C\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}2^{-j\times\frac{na-2b}{2b}}=C$$

noticing (6.7) and $b>0$.

Thus, we finish the proof of Theorem 6.1.

In order to prove Theorem 6.3, let us state a uniform boundedness principle related to $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, which is similar to that related to L^p.

Lemma 6.1 (Uniform boundedness principle) Let $1<s<\infty, 0<p\leq s$ and $-n<\alpha<n(p-1)$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a dense subspace of $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and suppose that T_R is a sequence of linear operators such that

$$\|T_Rf-f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}}\to 0, R\to\infty, \tag{6.9}$$

for $f\in\mathcal{D}$. Then in order for $T_Rf\to f$ in $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ norm as $R\to\infty$ for all $f\in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, it is a necessary and sufficient condition that we have the estimate

$$\|T_Rf\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}}\leq C\|f\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \tag{6.10}$$

for all sufficiently large R, where the constants C are independent of R.

Proof By density, for $f\in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a $g\in\mathcal{D}$ such that $\|f-g\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}}\leq\varepsilon$. Noticing (6.9) and (6.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_Rf-f\|^{\bar{p}}_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} &\leq \|T_Rf-T_Rg\|^{\bar{p}}_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}}+\|T_Rg-g\|^{\bar{p}}_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}}+\|g-f\|^{\bar{p}}_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}}\\
&\leq C\|f-g\|^{\bar{p}}_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}}+\varepsilon^{\bar{p}}+\|g-f\|^{\bar{p}}_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}}\leq C\varepsilon^{\bar{p}}
\end{aligned}$$

for $f \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$.

On the other hands, for $f \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, set $\varepsilon = \|f\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}}$. As R larger enough, we have

$$\|T_R f\|^{\bar{p}}_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \le \|T_R f - f\|^{\bar{p}}_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} + \|f\|^{\bar{p}}_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \le C\|f\|^{\bar{p}}_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}}.$$

Thus, we finish the proof of Lemma 6.1.

Proof of Theorem 6.3 It is easy to see that $L^2 \bigcap BL^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha}$ is dense in $BL^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha}$. For $f \in L^2 \bigcap BL^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha}$, we know that $A_t f$ converges to f pointwise (see [59]), noticing that $|A_t f(x)| \le \mathcal{M} f(x)$ for all $0 < t < \infty$ and $\|\mathcal{M} f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \le C\|f\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} < \infty$ by Theorem 6.2, then the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem gives that

$$\|A_t f - f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \to 0, \qquad R \to \infty$$

for all $f \in L^2 \bigcap BL^{p,2}_{|x|^\alpha}$. Then Theorem 6.3 follows from Lemma 6.1 and Theorem 6.2.

7. Some sublinear operators

In this section, we prove that a lot of sublinear operators in harmonic analysis are of some new estimate at or beyond endpoint, some of them, such as Hilbert transform, Riesz transforms and the regular singular integral operators, and the corresponding truncated operators and maximal operators are of $(BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha})$ and $(BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha})$ estimates, while others, such as Calderón-Zygmund operator, the strongly singular integral operator and some oscillatory singular integrals operators, admit respectively an extension which is bounded on $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$.

Theorem 7.1 Let $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \le s$ and $-n(1-p/s) \le \alpha < n(p-1)$. Suppose a sublinear operator T satisfies the size condition

$$|Tf(x)| \le C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^n} dy, \qquad x \notin \operatorname{supp} f, \tag{7.1}$$

for any integral function with compact support. Then, if T is bounded on L^s, we have

$$\|Th\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \le C \tag{7.2}$$

for every $(p,s,\alpha)-$block h, where C is independent of h.

Proof As (5.5), it is easy to check that the condition (7.1) implies the conditions (1.14) and (1.16). Then, Theorem 7.1 follows from Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 7.2 Let $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \le s$ and $-n(1-p/s) \le \alpha < n(p-1)$. Let $K \in L^1_{loc}$ which satisfies

$$|K(x)| \le C1/|x|^n, \quad x \ne 0, \tag{7.3}$$

$K_\varepsilon = K\chi_{\{|x|>\varepsilon\}}$ with $\varepsilon > 0$. Define $Tf(x) = K * f(x), T^\varepsilon f(x) = K_\varepsilon * f(x)$ and $T^* f(x) = \sup_\varepsilon |T^\varepsilon f(x)|$. Then, if T^ε is bounded on L^s, we have

$$T^\varepsilon \text{ is of type } (BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}),$$

and if T^* is bounded on L^s, we have

$$T^*, T^\varepsilon \text{ and } T \text{ are of type } (BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}).$$

Proof Let us first consider T^ε. We pick a smooth radial function φ with support inside the ball $B(0,2)$ which is equal to 1 on the closed unit ball $\overline{B(0,1)}$. Let $\psi_k(x) = \varphi(2^{-k}x) - \varphi(2^{-k+1}x)$ supposed in the annuli $2^{k-1} \le |x| \le 2^{k+1}, k = 1, 2, \cdots$. Then, we can write $T^\varepsilon f$ as

$$T^\varepsilon f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_k^\varepsilon f, \tag{7.4}$$

where $T_0^\varepsilon f(x) = (K_\varepsilon \varphi) * f(x)$ and $T_k^\varepsilon f(x) = (K_\varepsilon \psi_k) * f(x), k = 1, 2, \cdots$.

Fix $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Let $f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu_j a_j$ where each a_j is a (p, s, α)-block with supp $a_j \subset B_j$, and $\|a_j\|_{L^s} \le |B_j|^{-\frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{np} + \frac{1}{s}}$, and $(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\mu_j|^{\bar{p}})^{1/\bar{p}} < \infty$.

It is clear that we can let $0 < \varepsilon < 2$. As Proposition 5.5, we have

$$T_k^\varepsilon f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j T_k a_j(x), \qquad k = 1, 2, \cdots, \tag{7.5}$$

and

$$|T_k^\varepsilon a(x)| \le 2^{-nk/s}(\|\psi\|_{L^\infty} + \|\psi\|_{L^{s'}}), \qquad k = 1, 2, \cdots, \tag{7.6}$$

for all (p, s, α)-blocks with $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p \le s$ and $n(\frac{p}{s} - 1) \le \alpha < n(p-1)$. Similarly,

$$T_0^\varepsilon f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j T_0^\varepsilon a_j(x) \tag{7.7}$$

and

$$|T_0^\varepsilon a(x)| \le \varepsilon^{-n}(\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} + \|\varphi\|_{L^{s'}}) \tag{7.8}$$

for all (p, s, α)-blocks. From (7.6) and (7.8) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_j||T_k^\varepsilon a_j| &\le (\varepsilon^{-n}(\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} + \|\varphi\|_{L^{s'}}) + \|\psi\|_{L^\infty} + \|\psi\|_{L^{s'}}) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-nk/s}|\mu_j| \\ &\le C_\varepsilon (\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\mu_j|^{\bar{p}})^{1/\bar{p}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then, from (7.4), (7.5) and (7.7), we have

$$T^\varepsilon f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j T_k^\varepsilon a_j^\varepsilon a_j = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_j T_k^\varepsilon a_j = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j T^\varepsilon a_j. \tag{7.9}$$

From this and Theorem 7.1, it is easy to get that T^ε is of type $(BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha})$ if T^ε is bounded on L^s.

From (7.9), we see that

$$|T^\varepsilon f(x)| \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\mu_j||T^\varepsilon a_j(x)| \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\mu_j| T^* a_j(x)$$

for $0 < \varepsilon < \infty$, and it follows that

$$T^*f(x) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\mu_j| T^* a_j(x).$$

By Theorem 7.1, T^* is of type $(BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha})$ if T^* is bounded on L^s, and T and T^ε follow. Thus, we finish the proof of Theorem 7.2.

By Theorems 7.2 and 2.1, we have

Theorem 7.3 Let $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p < s, -n(1 - p/s) < \alpha < n(p - 1)$ and $\alpha \leq 0$. Let $K, K_\varepsilon, T, T^\varepsilon$ and T^* as in Theorem 7.2. Then, if T^ε is bounded on L^s, we have

$$T^\varepsilon \text{ is of type } (BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha}),$$

and if T^* is bounded on L^s, we have

$$T^*, T^\varepsilon \text{ and } T \text{ are of type } (BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha}).$$

The conditions of Theorem 7.2 or Theorem 7.1 are satisfied by many operators arising in harmonic analysis, for example,

A. Hilbert transform and the corresponding truncated operators and maximal operator:

$$Hf(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} H_\varepsilon f(x), H_\varepsilon f(x) = \int_{|x-t|>\varepsilon} \frac{f(t)}{x - t} dt, \text{ and } H^* f(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |H_\varepsilon f(x)|.$$

It is well known that H, H_ε and H^* are of type (L^p, L^p) for $1 < p < \infty$. Then we see that H, H_ε and H^* satisfy the conditions of Theorem 7.2.

It is known that H, H_ε and H^* are of weak-type (L^1, L^1), and Hf is of types (H^p, L^p) and (H^p, H^p) for $1/2 < p \leq 1$.

At the same time, $Hf, H_\varepsilon f$ and $H^* f$ are of type (L^p_w, L^p_w) for $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p$ and weak-type (L^1_w, L^1_w) for $w \in A_1$. Hf is of types (H^p_w, L^p_w) and (H^p_w, H^p_w) for $1/2 < p \leq 1$ and $w \in A_1$(see [36]).

B. Riesz transform and the corresponding truncated operators and maximal operator:

$$R_j f(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} R^\varepsilon_j f(x), R^\varepsilon_j f(x) = c_n \int_{|y|>\varepsilon} \frac{y_j}{|y|^{n+1}} f(x - y) dy \text{ and } R^*_j f(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |R_j f(x)|,$$

$j = 1, \cdots, n$, with $c_n = \frac{\Gamma((n+1)/2)}{\pi^{(n+1)/2}}$.

It is well-known that R_j, R^ε_j and R^*_j are of type (L^s, L^s) for $1 < s < \infty$. Then R_j, R^ε_j and R^*_j satisfy the conditions of Theorem 7.2.

It is also known that R_j, R^ε_j and R^*_j are of weak-type (L^1, L^1), and $R_j f$ is of type (H^s, H^s) for $0 < s \leq 1$ (see [65, 23]).

$R_j f$ and $R^*_j f$ are of type (L^p_w, L^p_w) for $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p$ and weak-type (L^1_w, L^1_w) for $w \in A_1$. $R_j f$ is of types (H^p_w, L^p_w) and (H^p_w, H^p_w) for $n/(n + 1) < p \leq 1$ and $w \in A_1$(see [36]).

C. The regular singular integral operators and the corresponding truncated operators and maximal operators defined in [25]:

$$T_{RS}f(x) = K * f(x), T_{RS}^{\varepsilon}f(x) = K_{\varepsilon} * f(x) \text{ and } T_{RS}^{*}f(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon} |T^{\varepsilon}f(x)|$$

where the kernel K satisfies (7.3) and a regular condition

$$|K(x-y) - K(x)| \leq |y|/|x|^{n+1}, \quad |x| > 2|y| > 0,$$

and $K_{\varepsilon} = K\chi_{\{|x|>\varepsilon\}}$ with $\varepsilon > 0$.

It is known that $T_{RS}, T_{RS}^{\varepsilon}$ and T_{RS}^{*} are of type (L^s, L^s) for $1 < s < \infty$ (see [25]). Then $T_{RS}, T_{RS}^{\varepsilon}$ and T_{RS}^{*} satisfy the conditions of Theorem 7.2.

It is also known that $T_{RS}, T_{RS}^{\varepsilon}$ and T_{RS}^{*} are of weak-type (L^1, L^1), and T_{RS} is of type (H^p, L^p) for $n/(n+1) < p \leq 1$.

And $T_{RS}, T_{RS}^{\varepsilon}$ and T_{RS}^{*} are of type (L_w^p, L_w^p) for $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p$ and weak-type (L_w^1, L_w^1) for $w \in A_1$. T_{RS} is of type (H_w^p, L_w^p) for $n/(n+1) < p \leq 1$ and $w \in A_1$ (see [25]).

D. The R.Fefferman type singular integral operator and the corresponding truncated operators and maximal operators:

$$T_s f(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} T_{\varepsilon} f(x), T_s^{\varepsilon} f(x) = \int_{|y|>\varepsilon} \frac{\Omega(\frac{y}{|y|})h(|y|)}{|y|^n} f(x-y)dy \text{ and } T_s^{*}f(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon>0} |T_s^{\varepsilon}f(x)|,$$

where $h \in L^{\infty}([0,\infty))$, and Ω is a homogeneous function of degree 0, $\Omega \in L^{\infty}(S^{n-1})$, and $\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \Omega(u)d\sigma(u) = 0, \varepsilon > 0$.

T_s, T_s^{ε} and T_s^{*} are of type (L^s, L^s) for $1 < s < \infty$ (see, for example, [20]). Then T_s, T_s^{ε} and T_s^{*} satisfy the conditions of Theorem 7.2.

It is also known that these operators are of type (L_w^s, L_w^s) for $1 < s < \infty$ and $w \in A_s$ (see [20]).

E. The Fourier integrals operator and the Carleson operator:

$$S_N f(x) = \int_{|\xi| \leq N} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi \text{ and } Cf(x) = \sup_{N>0} |S_N f(x)|.$$

It is known that

$$S_N f = \frac{i}{2}(\mathrm{Mod}_N H \mathrm{Mod}_{-N} f - \mathrm{Mod}_{-N} H \mathrm{Mod}_N f),$$

where H is Hilbert transform and $\mathrm{Mod}_N f(x) = e^{2\pi i N x} f(x)$. Then the estimate of Cf can be concluded from the estimate of

$$\bar{C}f(x) = \sup_{R>0} \left| \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{e^{iRy}}{x-y} f(y)dy \right|.$$

It is easy to see that $\bar{C}f(x)$ can be controlled by the maximal Carleson operator

$$C^{*}f(x) = \sup_{R>0} \sup_{\varepsilon>0} \left| \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon|} \frac{e^{-iR(x-y)}}{x-y} f(y)dy \right|.$$

It is known that $S_N, C, \bar{C}$ and C^* are bounded on L^p, see [32, 27], and therefore they satisfy the conditions of Theorem 7.2.

It is also known that $S_N f$ is of weak-type $(L^1(\mathbf{R}), L^1(\mathbf{R}))$ and type $(H^p(\mathbf{R}), L^p(\mathbf{R}))$ for $0 < p \leq 1$, but fails to be of type $(L^1(\mathbf{R}), L^1(\mathbf{R}))$ [34], and Cf fails to be of weak-type $(L^1(\mathbf{R}), L^1(\mathbf{R}))$ [34] and weak-type $(H^1(\mathbf{R}), L^1(\mathbf{R}))$ (see [79]).

$S_N f, Cf$ and $C^* f$ are also of type $(L^p_w(\mathbf{R}), L^p_w(\mathbf{R}))$ for $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p$, see [33, 26].

As we see, for the truncated operators and maximal operators above, though the weak-type (L^1, L^1) estimates are true, but the (H^p, H^p) and (H^p, L^p) estimates fail (or is not known) for $0 < p \leq 1$. Though the (H^p, H^p) and (H^p, L^p) estimates hold for Hilbert transform for $p \in (1/2, 1]$ and for the regular singular integral operators for $p \in (n/(n+1), \leq 1]$, but for p in the the remain ranges of $(0, 1]$ these estimates fail (or are not known). In fact, for these operators, no strong estimates are found for p in the the remain ranges of $(0, 1]$. Here, replacing the (H^p, H^p) and (H^p, L^p) estimates, we establish $(BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha})$ and $(BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha})$ estimates for these operators for p in the the remain ranges.

From Theorems 7.2 and 7.3, we have

Corollary 7.1 Let s, p and α as in Theorem 7.2, then $H, H_\varepsilon, H^*, R_j, R^\varepsilon_j, R^*_j, T_{RS}$, $T^\varepsilon_{RS}, T^*_{RS}, T_s, T^\varepsilon_s, T^*_s, S_N, C, \bar{C}$ and C^* are of type $(BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha})$.

Let s, p and α as in Theorem 7.3, then $H, H_\varepsilon, H^*, R_j, R^\varepsilon_j, R^*_j, T_{RS}, T^\varepsilon_{RS}, T^*_{RS}, T_s, T^\varepsilon_s$, $T^*_s, S_N, C, \bar{C}$ and C^* are of type $(BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}, L^p_{|x|^\alpha})$.

For S_N we also have a convergence result as follows, whose proof is similar to that of Theorem 6.4.

Theorem 7.4 Let $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p < s, n(\frac{p}{s} - 1) < \alpha < n(p-1)$ and $\alpha \leq 0$. Then

$$\|S_N f - f\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}} \to 0, R \to \infty$$

for all $f \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$.

The following operators satisfy (7.1), so, for them the same conclusions hold as those stated in Theorem 7.1. From these, we can extend these operators to some bounded operators on $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$.

F. Calderón-Zygmund operator and the corresponding truncated operators and maximal operators defined in [43].

Let $K(x, y)$ be a locally integrable function defined off the diagonal $x = y$ in $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$, which satisfies the standard estimate:

$$|K(x, y)| \leq \frac{c}{|x - y|^n},$$

and, for some $\delta > 0$,

$$|K(x, y) - K(z, y)| + |K(y, x) - K(y, z)| \leq c\frac{|x - z|^\delta}{|x - y|^{n+\delta}},$$

whenever $2|x - z| < |x - y|$.

A linear operator $T_C : C_0^\infty \to L^1_{loc}$ is a Calderón-Zygmund operator if

$$\text{it extends to a bounded operator on } L^2,$$

and there is a kernel K satisfying the standard estimate such that

$$T_C f(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} K(x,y) f(y) dy$$

for any $f \in C_0^\infty$ and x not in supp(f). The corresponding truncated operators and maximal operators are respectively defined as

$$T_C^\varepsilon f(x) = \int_{|x-y|\geq\varepsilon} K(x,y) f(y) dy \text{ and } T_C^* f(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon>0} |T_C^\varepsilon f(x)| .$$

$T_C f, T_C^\varepsilon f$ and $T_C^* f$ are of type (L^p, L^p) for $1 < p < \infty$ (see, for example, [43]), and clearly they satisfy (7.1). So, theses operators satify the conditions of Theorem 7.1.

G. The strongly singular integral operator

$$\widehat{T_b f}(\xi) = \frac{e^{i|\xi|^b}}{|\xi|^{nb/2}} \varphi(|\xi|) \hat{f}(\xi),$$

where $0 < b < 1$ and φ is a smooth radial cut-off function with $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $\{|\xi| \geq 1\}$ and $\varphi \equiv 0$ on $\{|\xi| \leq 1/2\}$. The convolution form of T_b can be written as

$$T_b f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{e^{i|x-y|^{-b'}}}{|x-y|^n} \chi_E(|x-y|) f(y) dy,$$

where $b' = b/(1-b)$ and χ_E is the characteristic function of the unit interval $E = (0,1) \subset \mathbf{R}$.

T_b is of type (L^p, L^p) for $1 < p < \infty$ (see [30, 77]), then, T_b satisfies the conditions of Theorem 7.1.

H. The oscillatory singular integrals operators

$$T_o f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{\lambda\Phi(x,y)} K(x,y) \varphi(x,y) f(y) dy,$$

where $K(x,y)$ is a Calderón-Zygmund kernel, $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, and $\Phi(x,y)$ is real-valued.

T_o is of type $(L^p, L^p), 1 < p < \infty$, for the real bilinear form $\Phi(x,y) = (Bx, y), \varphi = 1$ (see [47]), for the polynomial $\Phi(x,y) = P(x,y), \varphi = 1$ (see [48]), and for the real analytic function $\Phi(x,y)$ (see [46]). Then, in these cases, T_o satisfies the conditions of Theorem 7.1.

From Theorem 7.1 we have

Corollary 7.2 Let s, p and α as in Theorem 7.1. Then (7.2) holds for $T_C, T_C^\varepsilon, T_C^*, T_b$ and T_o stated as above.

Let $FBL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ be the set of all finite linear combination of (p,s,α)-blocks. Let f be an element of $FBL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and pick a representation of $f = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j a_j$ such that

$$\|f\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \approx \left(\sum_{j=1}^N |\lambda_j|^{\bar{p}} \right)^{1/\bar{p}} .$$

Using Corollary 7.2, Theorem 3.1 and the sublinearity of the quantity $\|\cdot\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}}$, it follows that, for these operators T in Corollary 7.2,

$$\|Tf\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} \leq C\|f\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}}$$

if s, p and α under the conditions of Theorem 7.1, where C is independent of f.

Since $FBL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ is dense in $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ and $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ is complete for $0 < s \leq \infty, 0 < p < s$ and $-n(1-p/s) < \alpha \leq 0$ (Theorem 2.6), then we can extend these operators T to some bounded operators on $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$, so we have

Theorem 7.5 Let $1 < s < \infty, 0 < p < s, -n(1-p/s) < \alpha < n(p-1)$ and $\alpha \leq 0$. Then the operators $T_C, T_C^\varepsilon, T_C^*, T_b$ and T_o initially defined for $f \in L^s$ with compact support admit respectively an extension which is bounded on $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$.

8. Remarks

(1) When $p > 1$, the spaces $BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ can not be replaced by $\tilde{B}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ defined below for certain problems, such as the convergence of Bochner-Riesz means and the spherical means. In other words, the quasinorm of a function $f(x)$

$$\|f\|_{BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} = \inf_{f(x)=\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}\lambda_i a_i(x), a(x) \text{ are } (p,s,\alpha)-\text{blocks}} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_i|$$

in Definition 2.2 can not be replaced by

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{B}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}} = \inf_{f(x)=\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}\lambda_i a_i(x), a(x) \text{ are } (p,s,\alpha)-\text{blocks}} \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

Let $0 < s \leq \infty, 0 < p < \infty$ and $-\infty < \alpha < \infty$. Denote

$$\tilde{B}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha} = \{f \ : \ f = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_k a_k,$$
$$\text{where each } a_k \text{ is a } (p,s,\alpha)\text{-block on } \mathbf{R}^n, \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^p < +\infty\}.$$

Here the "convergence" means a.e. convergence.

And denote

$$\tilde{B}L^{p}_{|x|^\alpha} = \{f : Mf \in L^p_{|x|^\alpha}\},$$

where Mf is Hardy-littlewood maximal function. Then we have

Theorem 8.1 Let $0 < s \leq \infty, 0 < p < \infty$ and $0 \leq \alpha < \infty$. Then

$$\tilde{B}L^{p}_{|x|^\alpha} \subset \tilde{B}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \tilde{B}L^{p}_{|x|^\alpha}$. For $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, let

$$E_k = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Mf(x) > 2^k\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} Q_j^k, \ \text{ the Whitney decomposition of } E_k.$$

Clearly, we have

$$\bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} E_k = \mathbf{R}^n, \bigcup_{j,k} Q_j^k \setminus E_{k+1} = \mathbf{R}^n, \text{ and } E_{k+1} \subset E_k.$$

Thus

$$f(x) = \sum_{k,j} \chi_{Q_j^k \setminus E_{k+1}}(x) f(x) = \sum_{k,j} \lambda_j^k a_j^k.$$

Here

$$\lambda_j^k = C2^k (\mu_\alpha(Q_j^k))^{1/p},$$

$$a_j^k(x) = \left(C2^k(\mu_\alpha(Q_j^k))^{1/p}\right)^{-1} \chi_{Q_j^k \setminus E_{k+1}}(x) f(x),$$

where $\mu_\alpha(Q_j^k) = \int_{Q_j^k} |x|^\alpha dx$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j,k} |\lambda_j^k|^p &= C^p \sum_{j,k} 2^{kp} \mu_\alpha(Q_j^k) = C^p \sum_k 2^{kp} \mu_\alpha(E_k) \\ &= C^p \sum_k 2^{kp} \mu_\alpha(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Mf(x) > 2^k\}) \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (Mf(x))^p |x|^\alpha dx = C\|f\|^p_{\tilde{B}L^p_{|x|^\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, let us show that each a_j^k is a (p, s, α)-block. In fact, noticing that $2^k < Mf(x) \leq 2^{k+1}$ on $Q_j^k \setminus E_{k+1}$, it follows that $|f(x)| < Mf(x) \leq 2^{k+1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_j^k\|_{L^s} &= C\left(2^k \mu_\alpha(Q_j^k)^{1/p}\right)^{-1} \left(\int_{Q_j^k \setminus E_{k+1}} |f(x)|^s dx\right)^{1/s} \\ &\leq C2^{-k} \mu_\alpha(Q_j^k)^{-1/p} 2^k |Q_j^k|^{1/s} \\ &\leq C|Q_j^k|^{-\alpha/pn - 1/p + 1/s}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\mu_\alpha(Q_j^k) \geq |Q_j^k|^{\alpha/n+1}$ when $\alpha \geq 0$. Thus, we finish the proof of Theorem 8.1.

By the boundedness of Hardy-Littlewood maximal function Mf on $L^p_{|x|^\alpha}$ we know that, when $1 < p < \infty$ and $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$,

$$\tilde{B}L^p_{|x|^\alpha} = L^p_{|x|^\alpha}.$$

This and Theorem 8.1 give that

Corollary 8.1 Let $0 < s \leq \infty, 1 < p < \infty$ and $0 \leq \alpha < n(p-1)$. We have

$$L^p_{|x|^\alpha} \subset \tilde{B}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}.$$

In particular,

$$L^p \subset \tilde{B}L^{p,s}_{|x|^0}.$$

It is known that Bochner-Riesz means B_R^λ for $\lambda \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $p \leq p'_\lambda$ and the spherical maximal operator $\mathcal{M}$ for $p \leq \frac{n}{n-1}$ and $n \geq 2$ fail to be of type (L^p, L^p), see [59]. And it follows that

Corollary 8.2 Let $0 < s \leq \infty$. Then B_R^λ for $\lambda \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $p \leq p'_\lambda$, and $\mathcal{M}$ for $1 < p \leq \frac{n}{n-1}$ and $n \geq 2$ fail to be of type $(\tilde{B}L^{p,s}_{|x|^0}, L^p)$.

(2) For Fourier integrals operator S_N and Carleson operator C, the second conclusion of Corollary 7.1 is sharp in the sense that

Theorem 8.2 A) If $s = 1, p = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$, then there exists $f \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ such that $S_N f$ fails to be of type $(BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}), L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}))$.

B) If $s = 1, 0 < p \leq 1$ and $-1 < \alpha \leq p - 1$, then there exists $f \in BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$ such that Cf fails to be of type $(BL^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}), L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R}))$.

Proof A) is clear since $\dot{B}L^{1,1}_{|x|^0} = L^1$ [34]. Let us prove B). When $s = 1, 0 < p \leq 1$ and $-1 < \alpha \leq p - 1$, by Theorem 2.3B), we see that $L^1 \subset \dot{B}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$. Then Kolmogorov's example shows that there exists $f \in \dot{B}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}$ such that

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} S_N f(x) = \infty, \quad \text{a.e..}$$

It follows that

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} S_N f(x) = \infty, \quad \mu_\alpha-\text{a.e..}$$

Then $Cf(x) = \infty, \quad \mu_\alpha-$a.e.. This is a contradiction of $\|Cf\|_{L^p_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})} \leq C\|f\|_{\dot{B}L^{p,s}_{|x|^\alpha}(\mathbf{R})}$.

References

[1] K.F.Andersen, Weighted norm inequalities for Bochner-Riesz spherical summation multipliers, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 103(1988), 165-170.

[2] O.Blasco, A.Ruiz and L.Vega, Non interpolation in Morrey-Campanato and block spaces, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci. IV. Ser. (1)28(1999), 31-40.

[3] S.Bochner, Summation of multiple Fourier series by spherical means, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 40(1936), 175-207.

[4] J.Bourgain, Besicovitch type maximal operators and applications to Fourier analysis, Geom. Funct. Anal. 1(1991), 147-187.

[5] J.Bourgain, On the restriction and multiplier problems in $\mathbf{R}^3$ in Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis: Israel Seminar (GAFA) 1989/90, Lecture Notes in Math. 1469, Springer, 1991, 179-191.

[6] J.Bourgain, Averages in the plane over convex curves and maximal operators, J. Anal. Math. 47(1986), 69-85.

[7] J.Bourgain, Estimations de certaines functions maximales, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 301(1985), 499-502.

[8] C.Calderón, Lacunary spherical means, Illinois J. Math. 23(1979), 476-484.

[9] A.Carbery, J.L.Rubio de Francia and L.Vega, Almost everywhere summability of Fourier integrals. J. London Math. Soc. 38(1988), 513-524.

[10] L.Carleson and P.Sjölin, Oscillatory Integrals and a Multiplier Problem for the Disc, Studia Math. 44(1972), 287-299.

[11] M.Christ, Weak type (1,1) bounds for rough operators, Ann. Math. 128(1988), 19-42.

[12] M.Christ, Weak type endpoint bounds for Bochner-Riesz multipliers, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, (1)3(1987), 25-31.

[13] R.Coifman, Characterizations of Fourier transforms of Hardy spaces, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 71(1971), 4133-4134.

[14] R.Coifman and G.Weiss, Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 83(1977), 569-645.

[15] R.R.Coifman and G.Weiss, Review of the book:Littlewood-Paley and multiplier theory, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 84(1978), 242-250.

[16] A.Córdoba, A note on Bochner-Riesz operators, Duke Math. J. 46 (1979), 505-511.

[17] K.M.Davis, Y.C.Chang, Lectures on Bochner-Riesz means, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. 114, Cambridge Univ. Press. Cambridge. 1987.

[18] J.Duoandikoetxea and L.Vega, Spherical means and weighted inequalities, J. London Math. Soc. 53(1996), 343-353.

[19] J.Duoandikoetxea and E.Seijo,Weighted inequalities for some spherical maximal operators, Illinois J. Math. (4)46(2002), 1299-1312.

[20] J.Duoandikoetxea and L.J.Rubio de Francia, Maximal and singular integral operators via Fourier transform estimates, Invent. Math. (3)84(1986), 541-561.

[21] C.Fefferman, A note on spherical summation multipliers, Israel J. Math. 15(1973), 44-52.

[22] C.Fefferman, Inequalities for strongly singular convolution operators, Acta Math. 124(1970), 9-36.

[23] C.Fefferman and E.M.Stein, H^p spaces of several variables, Acta Math. 129(1972), 137-194.

[24] J.García-Cuerva, Weighted H^p spaces. Dissertations Math. 162(1979), 1-63.

[25] J.García-Cuerva and J.Rubio de Francia, Weighted norm inequalities and related topics, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.

[26] L.Grafakos, Classical and modern Fourier analysis, Pearson Education, 2004.

[27] L.Grafakos, T.Tao and E.Terwilleger, L^p bounds for a maximal dyadic sum operator, Math. Z. 246(2004), 321-337.

[28] C.S.Herz, On the mean inversion of Fourier and Hankel transforms, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 40(1954), 996-999.

[29] I.I.Hirschman, Multiplier transformations. II, Duke Math. J. 28(1961), 45-56.

[30] I.I.Hirschmann, On multiplier transformations, Duke Math. J. 26 (1959), 221-242.

[31] L.Hörmander, Oscillatory integrals and multipliers on FL^p, Arkiv. Math. 11(1973), 1-11.

[32] R.A.Hunt, On the convergence of Fourier series. In orthogonal expansions and their continuous analogues, pages 235-255, Carbondale, IL, 1968.

[33] R.A.Hunt and W.S.Young, A weighted norm inequality for Fourier series,. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 80(1974), 274-277.

[34] A.N.Kolmogorov, Une série de Fourier-Lebesgue divergente presque partout, Fundamenta Math. 4(1923), 324-329.

[35] S.Lee, Improved bounds for Bochner-Riesz and maximal Bochner-Riesz operators, Duke Math.J. (1)122(2004), 205-232.

[36] M.Y.Lee and C.C.Lin, The molecular characterization of weighted Hardy spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 188(2002), 442-460.

[37] X.Li and D.Yang, Boundedness of some sublinear operator s on Herz spaces, Illinois J. of Math. 40(1996), 484-501.

[38] R.Long, The spaces generated by blocks, Scientia Sinica A XXI II (1984), 16-26.

[39] S.Long, Convergence of Fourier series, Bochner-Riesz means and restriction problems, Ph.D.Thesis, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan, 2008.

[40] S.Long, Convergence of Fourier series at or beyond endpoint, preprint.

[41] S.Z.Lu, M.Taibleson and G.Weiss, Spaces generated by blocks, Beijing Normal University Press, Beijing, 1989.

[42] Marco Annoni. Almost everywhere convergence for modified Bochner-Riesz means at the critical index for $p \geq 2$, Ph.D.Thesis, University of Missouri- Columbia, 2010.

[43] Y.Meyer, Wavelets and operators, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math. vol. 37, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.

[44] Y.Pan, Oscillatory singular integrals on L^p and Hardy spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (9)124 (1996), 2821-2825.

[45] Y.Pan, Hardy spaces and oscillatory singular integrals, Rev. Mat Iberoamericana, 7(1991), 55-64.

[46] Y.Pan, Uniform estimates for oscillatory integral operators, J. Funct. Anal. 100 (1991), 207-220.

[47] D.H.Phong and E.M.Stein, Hilbert integrals, singular integrals and Radon transforms, I, Acta Math. 57(1987), 179-194.

[48] F.Ricci and E.M.Stein, Harmonic analysis on nilpotent groups and singular integrals, I, J. Funct. Anal. 73(1987), 179-194.

[49] J.L.Rubio.de.Francia, Weighted norm inequalities and vector valued inequalities, Lecture Notes in Math. 908, 86-101, 1982.

[50] S.Sato, Divergence of the Bochner-Riesz means in the weighted Hardy spaces, Studia Math. 118(1996), 261-275.

[51] A.Seeger, Endpoint inequalities for Bochner-Riesz multipliers in the plane, Pacific J. Math. (2)174(1996), 543-553.

[52] A.Seeger, T.Tao and J.Wright, Pointwise convergence of lacunary spherical means, Harmonic Analysis at Mount Holyoke South Hadley, MA, 2001, Contemp. Math. vol. 320, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI (2003), 341-351.

[53] A.Seeger, T.Tao and J.Wright, Singular maximal functions and Radon transforms near L^1, Amer. J. Math. 126(2004), 607-647.

[54] A.Seeger, T.Tao and J.Wright, Endpoint mapping properties of spherical maximal operators, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 2(2003), 109-144.

[55] A.Seeger, S.Wainger and J.Wright, Pointwise convergence of spherical means, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 118(1995), 115-124.

[56] A.Seeger, S.Wainger and J.Wright, Spherical maximal operator on radial functions, Math. Nachr. 187(1997), 241-265.

[57] X.Shi and Q.Sun, Weighted norm inequalities for Bochner-Riesz operators and singular integral operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 116(1992), 665-673.

[58] P.Sjölin, L^p estimates for strongly singular convolution operators in $\mathbf{R}^n$, Ark. Mat. 14 (1976), 59-64.

[59] E.M.Stein, Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality and oscillatory integrals, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1993,

[60] E.M.Stein, On limits of sequences of operators. Ann. Math. 74(I)(1961), 140-171.

[61] E.M.Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1970.

[62] E.M.Stein, An H^1 function with non-summable Fourier expansion, Lecture Notes in Math. 992, 1983, 193-200.

[63] E.M.Stein, Localization and suminability of multiple Fourier series, Acta Math. 100(1958), 93-147.

[64] E.M.Stein, Maximal functions: spherical means, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 73(1976), 2174-2175.

[65] E.M.Stein and G.Weiss, On the theory of harmonic functions of several variables, Acta Math. 103(1960), 25-62.

[66] E.M.Stein and G.Weiss, Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1971.

[67] E.M.Stein, M.Taibleson and G.Weiss, Weak type estimates for maximal operators on certain H^p spaces, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. 1 (1981), 81-97.

[68] J.-O.Strömberg and A.Torchinsky, Weighted Hardy spaces, Lecture Notes in Math. 1381, Springer, 1989.

[69] M.Taibleson and G.Weiss, Certain function spaces associated with a.e. convergence of Fourier series. In:Proc. Conf. on Harmonic Analysis in honor of Zygmund, Woodsworth, vol.1, (1983), 95-113.

[70] M.H.Taibleson and G.Weiss, The molecular characterization of certain Hardy spaces, Asterisque 77(1980), 67-149.

[71] T.Tao, Weak-type endpoint bounds for Riesz means, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (9)124(1996), 2797-2805.

[72] T.Tao, The weak-type endpoint Bochner-Riesz conjecture and related topics, Indiana J. of Math. 47(1998), 1097-1124.

[73] T.Tao and A.Vargas, A bilinear approach to cone multipliers, I: Restriction estimates, Geom. Funct. Anal. 10(2000), 185-215.

[74] T.Tao and A.Vargas, A bilinear approach to cone multipliers, II: Application, Geom. Funct. Anal. 10(2000), 216-258.

[75] T.Tao, A.Vargas and L.Vega, A bilinear approach to the restriction and Kakeya conjectures, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 11(1998), 967-1000.

[76] P.Tomas, Restriction theorems for the Fourier transform, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. XXXV, vol 35, Amer.Math.Soc.Providence, RI, 1979, 111-114.

[77] S.Wainger, Special trigonometric series in k dimensions, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 59 (1965).

[78] T.Wolff, An improved bound for Kakeya type maximal functions, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 11(1995), 651-674.

[79] A.Zygmund, Trigonometric series, vol. 1, 2nd, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1959.

Shunchao Long
Department of Mathematics,
Xiangtan University,
Hunan, 411105 P.R.China
E-mail: sclong@xtu.edu.cn